Redmine - Defect \#29855

## add_working_days returns wrong date

2018-10-27 08:46 - Yutaka Hara

| Status: | Confirmed | Start date: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Priority: | Normal | Due date: |  |
| Assignee: | Go MAEDA | \% Done: | $0 \%$ |
| Category: | Issues | Estimated time: | 0.00 hour |
| Target version: | Candidate for next minor release |  |  |
| Resolution: |  | Affected version: | 3.3 .7 |

## Description

Redmine::Util::DateCalculation\#add_working_days(date, $n$ ) returns wrong date when date is holiday and n is a multiple of 5 .
Example:
irb(main):004:0> Setting.non_working_week_days
=> ["6", "7"]
irb(main):001:0> include Redmine::Utils::DateCalculation
irb (main) : 002:0> add_working_days (Date.new (2018, 10, 27), 5)
=> Mon, 05 Nov 2018 \# Expected Fri, 02 Nov 2018
irb (main):003:0> add_working_days (Date.new (2018, 10, 28), 5)
=> Mon, 05 Nov 2018 \# Expected Fri, 02 Nov 2018
Tested with trunk@17598

## Related issues:

Related to Redmine - Defect \#14846: Calculation of the start date of followin...
Closed

## History

\#1-2018-10-28 02:46 - Go MAEDA

- Related to Defect \#14846: Calculation of the start date of following issues ignores the "non-working days" setting added
\#2-2018-10-28 02:47 - Go MAEDA
- Category set to Issues


## \#3-2018-10-28 02:53 - Go MAEDA

- Description updated
- Status changed from New to Confirmed
- Affected version set to 3.3.7

I have confirmed that 3.3 -stable and 3.4 -stable are also affected.

## \#4-2018-10-30 02:29 - Go MAEDA

- Description updated


## \#5-2018-11-07 07:56 - Mizuki ISHIKAWA

- File fix-29855.patch added

I think that applying this patch will solve the problem.
The code of the add_working_days method changes quite a bit, but all the tests succeed.
Any feedback is welcome.

## \#6 - 2018-11-25 07:54 - Go MAEDA

The suggested fix works fine but it is much slower than the current code. I think we need to consider whether this will affect the performance of Redmine.

```
$ bin/rails r bench-29855.rb
Warming up -------------------------------------------
Comparison:
    before: 159524.1 i/s
    after: 10597.0 i/s - 15.05x slower
require 'benchmark/ips'
include Redmine::Utils::DateCalculation
Benchmark.ips do |x|
    x.report('before') do
        add_working_days(Date.today, 30)
    end
    x.report('after') do
            result = Date.today
            30.times do
            result = next_working_date(result + 1)
            end
            result
    end
    x.compare!
end
\#7-2018-12-01 09:50 - Go MAEDA
- Assignee set to Jean-Philippe Lang
- Target version set to 3.3.9
```

Jean-Philippe, do you think we can accept this performance deterioration?
I think it is OK because 'add_working_days' method will not be executed hundreds of times by the user's single operation. So, it does not affect the performance of Redmine.

## \#8-2018-12-02 08:53 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Assignee changed from Jean-Philippe Lang to Yutaka Hara

Mizuki ISHIKAWA wrote:

Any feedback is welcome.

DateCalculation\#working_days should be fixed in a similar way to be consistent with the proposed fix. These new assertions should pass:

```
Index: test/unit/lib/redmine/utils/date_calculation.rb
======================================================================
--- test/unit/lib/redmine/utils/date_calculation.rb (revision 17671)
+++ test/unit/lib/redmine/utils/date_calculation.rb (working copy)
@@ -41,6 +41,8 @@
            assert_working_days 8, '2012-10-11', '2012-10-23'
            assert_working_days 2, '2012-10-14', '2012-10-17'
            assert_working_days 11, '2012-10-14', '2012-10-30'
            assert_working_days 5, '2012-10-20',' '2012-10-26
            assert_working_days 5, '2012-10-21', '2012-10-26'
            end
    end
```


## \#9-2018-12-02 08:55 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Assignee changed from Yutaka Hara to Go MAEDA


## \#10-2018-12-02 12:39-Marius BĂLTEANU

[^0]I took a look and there are some strange (or wrong) test cases the we should review before changing anything else.
Taking the following test scenario:

```
def test_working_days_with_non_working_week_days
    with_settings :non_working_week_days => %w(6 7) do
        assert_working_days 14, '2012-10-09', '2012-10-27'
        assert_working_days 4, '2012-10-09', '2012-10-15'
        assert_working_days 4, '2012-10-09', '2012-10-14'
        assert_working_days 3, '2012-10-09', '2012-10-12'
        assert_working_days 8, '2012-10-09', '2012-10-19'
        assert_working_days 8, '2012-10-11', '2012-10-23'
        assert_working_days 2, '2012-10-14', '2012-10-17'
        assert_working_days 11, '2012-10-14', '2012-10-30'
    end
end
```

assert_working_days 4, '2012-10-09', '2012-10-15'
2012-10-09 was Tuesday
2012-10-15 was Monday
The number of the expected working days according to the test is 4 . But in my opinion, it should be 5 days (Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday and Monday). 4 could be only if we exclude the end date from the count. if we do this, than the number of the expected days for the 2 assertions proposed by Jean-Philippe should be 4 because we need to exclude Friday (2012-10-26).

Also, it sound incorrect to say that between '2012-10-09-2012-10-15 (Tuesday - Monday)' and '2012-10-09-2012-10-14 (Tuesday - Sunday)' are the same number of working days (4)

Jean-Philippe, what do you think? I'm in favour of including the end date in the count.

## \#11-2018-12-02 17:23 - Jean-Philippe Lang

Marius BALTEANU wrote:

The number of the expected working days according to the test is 4 . But in my opinion, it should be 5 days (Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday and Monday). 4 could be only if we exclude the end date from the count. if we do this, than the number of the expected days for the 2 assertions proposed by Jean-Philippe should be 4 because we need to exclude Friday (2012-10-26).
\#working days and \#add working days are used to reschedule an issue when the start date is changed. Its duration is calculated with \#working_days and the new due date is calculated with \#add_working_days. If there is no "non working day", they should behave like Date\#- and Date\#+.

## \#12-2018-12-02 17:35 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Assignee changed from Jean-Philippe Lang to Go MAEDA

Jean-Philippe Lang wrote:
\#working days and \#add working days are used to reschedule an issue when the start date is changed. Its duration is calculated with \#working_days and the new due date is calculated with \#add_working_days. If there is no "non working day", they should behave like Date\#and Date\#+.

Thanks, but are still not clear for me the expected results so l'll leave Go Maeda or Mizuki ISHIKAWA to fix this issue.

## \#13-2018-12-08 07:33 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Target version deleted (3.3.9)


## \#14-2018-12-08 09:28 - Go MAEDA

- Target version set to Candidate for next minor release


## Files

fix-29855.patch 2018-11-07 Mizuki ISHIKAWA


[^0]:    - Assignee changed from Go MAEDA to Jean-Philippe Lang

