

Redmine - Defect #11508

Projects not ordered alphabetically after renaming project

2012-07-25 16:02 - Cassiano Monteiro

Status:	Closed	Start date:	
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:	Jean-Philippe Lang	% Done:	0%
Category:	Projects	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	2.1.0	Affected version:	1.4.4
Resolution:	Fixed		
Description I have several projects on my Redmine database, and they are shown on the "Project" combo on the top right corner of the screen, as well as on the "Projects" main page. I had a project called "Old EPOP". After changing it's name to "EPOP Desktop" (on the project settings screen), the "Projects" main page and the combo keep showing the order as it was before, instead of ordering the projects alphabetically. Attached are some screenshots.			
Related issues: Related to Redmine - Feature #11539: Display a projects tree instead of a fla... Closed Related to Redmine - Feature #6836: Sort project list by Name instead of iden... Closed 2010-11-05			

Associated revisions

Revision 10187 - 2012-08-10 18:50 - Jean-Philippe Lang

Fixed that projects are not ordered alphabetically after renaming project (#11508).

History

#1 - 2012-07-25 16:04 - Cassiano Monteiro

Projects Screen:

projects_screen.png
Projects Combo:
projects_combo.png

#2 - 2012-07-30 19:25 - William Roush

Only affects sub-projects, not root level projects. Confirmed on trunk for me.

If I'm not mistaken, this is handled by awesome_nested_set, is this a bug that is causing it to not update it's properties correctly? Or Redmine's fault?

#3 - 2012-07-30 19:41 - William Roush

For a quick temporary fix: move that project into another project and then back in, it'll fix the ordering issue.

Not being familiar with awesome_nested_set, I have no clue what is different in that circumstance.

#4 - 2012-07-30 19:45 - Cassiano Monteiro

- File plugin_list.png added

Is this aewsome_nested_set a plugin? I don't have it.

For information, here is my plugin list:

plugin_list.png

#5 - 2012-07-30 19:59 - William Roush

Cassiano Monteiro wrote:

Is this aewsome__nested_set a plugin? I don't have it.

For information, here is my plugin list:

It's shipped with Redmine, /lib/plugins/awesome_nested_set.

#6 - 2012-07-31 06:43 - William Roush

- *File NestedSetFix.patch added*

Confirmed it's the nested set, attached is a patch that will rebuild the order if the name has been changed on save and a test that replicates this bug.

#7 - 2012-07-31 13:04 - Cassiano Monteiro

Cool! Thanks!

#8 - 2012-08-03 14:09 - Etienne Massip

Confirmed on trunk.

About the patch, it would be great if there were a possibility to move the tree rebuild code to a more generic form in awesome_nested_set (haven't dug enough to say if it's actually possible).

#9 - 2012-08-03 14:09 - Etienne Massip

- *Status changed from New to Confirmed*

- *Target version set to Candidate for next minor release*

#10 - 2012-08-04 19:54 - William Roush

Etienne Massip wrote:

Confirmed on trunk.

About the patch, it would be great if there were a possibility to move the tree rebuild code to a more generic form in awesome_nested_set (haven't dug enough to say if it's actually possible).

I'll go ahead and investigate that.

#11 - 2012-08-05 03:21 - William Roush

- *File AwesomeNestedSetOrderUpdatePatch.patch added*

Attached is a fairly rough idea of how I think it could work (of course tweaks would be nice, I'm pretty rough with Ruby and Rails)

I also noticed we have a field called "order" that isn't default in awesome_nested_set, so it almost looks like we were on the track towards doing this anyway but stopped short.

Input?

#12 - 2012-08-10 05:16 - William Roush

Should this be done differently? Or is this good enough for the next minor release?

#13 - 2012-08-10 09:01 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- *Assignee set to Jean-Philippe Lang*

- *Target version changed from Candidate for next minor release to 2.1.0*

#14 - 2012-08-10 18:54 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- *Status changed from Confirmed to Closed*

- *Resolution set to Fixed*

Fix committed in [r10187](#) with some changes:

- use of an after_save filter instead of overriding #save (cleaner and everything runs in a db transaction)
- no change to awesome_nested_set so we can upgrade/unvendor it more easily
- no need to call Issue.update_versions_from_hierarchy_change when renaming a project
- additional tests

Thanks for digging into this.

Files

projects_combo.png	13.3 KB	2012-07-25	Cassiano Monteiro
projects_screen.png	24.3 KB	2012-07-25	Cassiano Monteiro
plugin_list.png	49.4 KB	2012-07-30	Cassiano Monteiro
NestedSetFix.patch	4.17 KB	2012-07-31	William Roush
AwesomeNestedSetOrderUpdatePatch.patch	5.5 KB	2012-08-05	William Roush