

id	name	position	is_default	type	active	project_id	parent_id
1	User documentation	1	0	DocumentCategory	1	NULL	NULL
2	Technical documentation	2	0	DocumentCategory	1	NULL	NULL
3	Low	1	0	IssuePriority	1	NULL	NULL
4	Normal	2	1	IssuePriority	1	NULL	NULL
5	High	3	0	IssuePriority	1	NULL	NULL
6	Urgent	4	0	IssuePriority	1	NULL	NULL
7	Immediate	5	0	IssuePriority	1	NULL	NULL
8	Design	1	0	TimeEntryActivity	1	NULL	NULL
9	Development	2	0	TimeEntryActivity	1	NULL	NULL

#2 - 2012-09-20 11:20 - Etienne Massip

- Subject changed from Issue row list is generating wrong css class for the priority to Alternate theme colors by issue priority in issue list is wrong
- Status changed from New to Confirmed
- Priority changed from Low to Normal
- Target version set to 2.1.1

CSS class is ok, see #11869.

□

But Julien is right: Alternate theme has not been updated according to change in #2071.

#3 - 2012-09-22 11:04 - Julien Petit

- File application.css added

If it can be of use to someone, i've patched the css. It works on my installation with the same table that amree woot is sharing here.

#4 - 2012-09-23 02:40 - amree woot

Working good for me (I'm using basecamp theme)

#5 - 2012-09-28 10:50 - Miklós Balázs

The problem is that when generating the CSS class to use, it takes into account the ID of the enumeration instead of the position field.

#6 - 2012-09-29 12:45 - Julien Petit

Miklós Balázs wrote:

□
The problem is that when generating the CSS class to use, it takes into account the ID of the enumeration instead of the position field.

□
This is not a problem, this is a change in the last releases because re-ordering position add the effect of changing status colors. The id is less likely to change.

#7 - 2012-09-29 18:41 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Status changed from Confirmed to Closed
- Target version deleted (2.1.1)
- Resolution set to Wont fix

The theme is OK when creating default priorities with 2.1.0.

If you use this theme with priorities created previously, you'll have to adjust the css manually according to your priority ids.

#8 - 2012-10-02 00:26 - John Reynolds

I just saw this closed (suprised) and thought I should add that I've never once changed the order or setup of the issue priority enumerations and yet the problem exists for me. I guess if the statement is, 'adjust your css' then ok, but it's not a result of custom setup I think?

#9 - 2012-10-10 08:48 - Anonymous

I agree with John Reynolds, please see [A1](#)

#10 - 2012-11-13 10:38 - Eric Platon

I am also surprised that this ticket is closed. Comparing the code of 1.2.2 and 2.1.2, this appears to be a bug. Priorities were based on position before, and it seems a better choice than ID, which is just a default value set by the install script and may depend on the DB engine. My DB has been modified, after years of usage, and the match does not exist anymore.

□ Shifting the priority index in themes will work as long as priorities are not customized in Redmine.

For the record, I have tried 4 themes, and they all break consistently from 2.1.

#11 - 2013-01-03 22:25 - Clemens Bergmann

- File `css_classes_with_position.diff` added

hi,

for all that have this BUG i attach a patch against stable 2.1 which changes the behavior back. The rows now get the position and not the ID as css class.

#12 - 2013-09-30 01:34 - Mischa The Evil

- Duplicated by Defect #13840: Style issues by priority position, not id added

#13 - 2015-01-13 08:11 - Vaclav Fiser

Hi,

We're facing this issue again in Redmine version 2.6.0 with custom theme. Unfortunately provided patch isn't compatible with latest version.

Can someone please help us ? Thank you

Files

screenshot.png	151 KB	2012-09-19	amree woot
application.css	3.19 KB	2012-09-22	Julien Petit
css_classes_with_position.diff	524 Bytes	2013-01-03	Clemens Bergmann