Redmine - Defect #13006

Filter "Assignee's group" doesn't work with group assignments

2013-01-28 11:06 - Jan Niggemann (redmine.org team member)

Status:	Closed	Start date:		
Priority:	Normal	Due date:		
Assignee:	Jean-Philippe Lang	% Done:	0%	
Category:	Issues	Estimated time:	0.00 hour	
Target version:	2.3.0			
Resolution:	Fixed	Affected version:		
Description				
Reproduce				
 Configure group Assign issue to List issues, set f Output: No data to di 	a group XYZ ilter "Assignee's group" is "XYZ"			
Expected output: Issues assigned to users belonging to group "XYZ" as well as issues assigned to group XYZ itself				
Related issues:				
Related to Redmine - Defect #9132: Filtering by "Assignee's Group" doesn't sh			Closed	2011-08-26
Related to Redmine - Feature #7867: Add filters for "Author's group" and "Aut			Closed	2011-03-15
Related to Redmine - Defect #13758: Issue Filtering 'Assignee = < <me>>' shows</me>			New	

Associated revisions

Revision 11285 - 2013-01-29 18:59 - Jean-Philippe Lang

Fixe that filter "Assignee's group" doesn't work with group assignments (#13006).

History

#1 - 2013-01-29 18:59 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Category set to Issues
- Status changed from New to Resolved
- Assignee set to Jean-Philippe Lang
- Target version set to 2.2.3
- Resolution set to Fixed

Fixed in <u>r11285</u>.

#2 - 2013-01-30 22:28 - Jan Niggemann (redmine.org team member)

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed

tested in 11289, fixed, closing thank you!

#3 - 2013-01-30 22:35 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Status changed from Closed to Resolved

Thanks for the feedback. FYI: I'm keeping it open until it's merged into the 2.2-stable branch.

#4 - 2013-02-04 12:30 - Toshi MARUYAMA

Is this issue duplicate with #9132?

#5 - 2013-02-04 12:41 - Jan Niggemann (redmine.org team member)

#6 - 2013-02-04 13:21 - Toshi MARUYAMA

I think Redmine 2.2.2 behavior is designed behavior implemented by $\frac{#5869 \ 1.1.0}{1.3.0}$. "Assign issues to groups" is implemented by $\frac{#2964 \ 1.3.0}{1.3.0}$. As described <u>note-5</u> of $\frac{#9132}{1.3.0}$, should we add new "Author group filtering" ($\frac{#7867}{1.3.0}$) instead of this issue <u>r11285</u>?

#7 - 2013-02-04 13:55 - Jan Niggemann (redmine.org team member)

I thought of groups not only for privilege management, I also imagined being able to use them as support queues, i.e. a business can have groups like

- Groupware
- Windows
- Hardware (Printers, PCs, Laptops)
- ...

I imagined a workflow like this:

- 1. Callcenter agent assigns issue to one of the groups
- 2. Group supervisor assigns issue to supporter (or a supporter assigns issue to himself)
- 3. supporter resolves issue

It truly is arguable if 2.2.2 already exposed the desired behavior before, or only after r11285...

Some thoughts:

In the broader picture, I'm afraid that we might scare off people with too many options, filters and such. While perfect for redmine-experts, new users can get confused with too many options and turn away.

Perhaps it'd be better the functionality stayed like before <u>r11285</u> and for my idea of issue queues, we recommend people create a custom field for the group assignment? After all, if a group and no particular user is assigned, there's no assignee. A supervisor would then be able to filter "assignee = none" AND "custom_group=Hardware"...

#8 - 2013-02-12 18:58 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Target version changed from 2.2.3 to 2.3.0

#9 - 2013-02-24 10:14 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed

Filter behaviour changed in r11285.

#10 - 2014-09-26 03:06 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Related to Defect #13758: Issue Filtering 'Assignee = <<me>>' shows group issues, but 'Assignee = Bob' does not added