Redmine - Defect #15929

REST API: Integer custom field validation fails when using non-string values

2014-01-22 11:03 - Victor Safronov

Status: Closed Start date:
Priority: Normal Due date:

Assignee: Jean-Philippe Lang % Done: 0%

Category: REST API Estimated time: 0.00 hour

Target version: 2.5.0

Resolution: Fixed Affected version:

Description

I have an issue custom field "My field" of integer type. This field doesn't have any additional validation rules for length and regexp. When I try to update it's value for some issue, validation fails with message "My field is not a number".

I tried both variants for custom fields:

```
{"issue":{"custom_field_values":{"12":15}}}
{"issue":{"custom_fields":[{"id":12,"value":15}]}}
```

Result is the same.

Here is the part of production.log:

```
Started PUT "/issues/788.json" for 2a00:9700:0:10:1e6f:65ff:fe54:fb4a at Wed Jan 22 16:45:48 +0700 2014

Processing by IssuesController#update as JSON

Parameters: {"issue"=>{"custom_field_values"=>{"12"=>15}}, "id"=>"788"}

Current user: vitek (id=1)

Rendered common/error_messages.api.rsb (0.7ms)

Completed 422 Unprocessable Entity in 273.8ms (Views: 1.9ms | ActiveRecord: 24.9ms)
```

Associated revisions

Revision 12704 - 2014-01-25 13:08 - Jean-Philippe Lang

REST API: Integer custom field validation fails when using non-string values (#15929).

History

#1 - 2014-01-22 18:15 - Victor Safronov

Just found the way to avoid the error. Integer parameter should be casted to string. It works if submit this data:

```
{"issue":{"custom_field_values":{"12":"15"}}}
```

I really don't understand why Redmine wants numeric string for the number custom field instead of integer

#2 - 2014-01-25 13:08 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Subject changed from Integer custom field fails validation when updated using REST API to REST API: Integer custom field validation fails when using non-string values
- Status changed from New to Closed
- Assignee set to Jean-Philippe Lang
- Target version set to 2.5.0
- Resolution set to Fixed

Fixed in <u>r12704</u>, thanks for pointing this out.

2025-05-17 1/1