

# Redmine - Defect #20456

## 3.1-stable/3.1.0: missing commits (omitted from being merged from trunk)

2015-08-01 10:10 - Mischa The Evil

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Jean-Philippe Lang	<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>		<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	3.1.1	<b>Affected version:</b>	3.1.0
<b>Resolution:</b>	Fixed		

### Description

This was reported initially by *Ryosuke Hirai* in [#11253-38](#):

it seems that `issue_query.rb` @ Revision 14406 was not applied to the source code of 3.1.0. I could not find this part after downloading.

After I read that I started another round of commit hunting. I have summed up the issues I found – and how I found them, using some ASCII-"art". See below.

I'll start with a to-do section which list the commits that needs to be merged in 3.1-stable, the commits that can/should be merged in 3.1-stable and the commits that shouldn't be merged into 3.1-stable since they are related to issues that are explicitly targeted to 3.2.0 at the time of writing this.

Then I start a section which compares the commits on trunk versus the commits (backports/merges) on 3.1-stable which in the end provides a list of commits applied on trunk but not on 3.1-stable, which I in turn used to specify which commits belong to what and what should be done them. That itself is what I used to fill in the to-do section.

Note that I also include a third 'legend' section to make sure that my "art" can be understood ;-)...

#### 1. To do on 3.1-stable branch:

```
* To merge.....: r14405, r14406, r14407, r14408, r14409, r14410, r14454
* Merge-able.....: r14390, r14391, r14411, r14412, r14413, r14414, r14415, r14416
* Shouldn't be merged: r14458, r14468, r14469, r14470, r14471
```

#### 2. Commits scheme & reasoning:

```
v -> TRUNK
|
|
|
O -> r14378
|\
| \
v ----->----- O -> r14379, 3.1 STABLE BRANCH CREATION
v
|          \
|          ---->v
|
8 ->  X14380, X14381, X14388, | X14389, r14390, r14391, X14392, X14394,
      X14396, r14405, r14406, | r14407, r14408, r14409, r14410, r14411,
      r14412, r14413, r14414, | r14415, r14416, X14417, X14428, X14429,
--<< X14430, X14437, X14440, | X14443, X14446, X14448, X14450, X14451,
/      r14454, X14455, X14419, | X14420, r14458, X14459, X14460, X14461,
/      r14468, r14469, r14470, | r14471
|
|
v
= (MARKED AS CONFIRMED AS MERGED
|
| FROM TRUNK TO 3.1-STABLE))
|
----->> | >>----->>
```

```

| | |
| | | 8 -> r14382, r14385, r14393, r14395, r14397, r14400, r14403,
| | ^ r14418, r14421, r14422, r14431, r14432, r14433, r14438,
| | ^ r14441, r14444, r14447, r14449, r14452, r14453, r14456,
| | | r14462, r14463, r14464, >>--
--
v |
|
v -----<< Merged r14461, Merged r14460, Merged r14459, Merged r14455,
| Merged r14450, Merged r14451, Merged r14448, Merged r14446,
O -> MERGE COMMITS, FROM TRUNK
| Merged r14443, Merged r14440, Merged r14437, Merged r14430,
O TO 3.1-STABLE
| Merged r14429, Merged r14428, Merged r14420, Merged r14419,
|
| Merged r14417, Merged r14388, Merged r14389, Merged r14396,
|
| Merged r14394, Merged r14392, Merged r14380, Merged r14381, <<--
--
|
|
v
v -> WRAPUP & CONCLUSION: COMMITS ON TRUNK AFTER 3.1-STABLE BRANCH CREATION, THAT ARE NOT MERGED I
NTO 3.1-STABLE
v
|
8 --> r14390, r14391, ---->>> NOT EXPLICIT 3.1.0, BUT IT WAS PROBA
BLY INTENDED FOR 3.1.X THOUGH
|
8 --> r14405, r14406, r14407, r14408, r14409, r14410, ---->>> EXPLICIT 3.1.0, #11253 AND RELATED
|
8 --> r14411, r14412, r14413, r14414, ---->>> UNKNOWN, CAN BE BACK-PORTED TO 3.1:
"CODE (LAYOUT) CLEANUP & HTTP => HTTPS"
|
8 --> r14415, r14416, ---->>> UNKNOWN, MIGHT BE BACK-PORTABLE TO 3
.1: "TRAVIS CHANGES, #20251"
|
8 --> r14454, ---->>> EXPLICIT 3.1.0, "BG LOCALE REORDER",
#11253 AND RELATED
|
8 --> r14458, r14468, r14469, r14470, r14471 ---->>> EXPLICIT 3.2.0

```

### 3. Legend:

```
-----
```

- \* -, >, >>, <, <<, ^, v: DIRECTION MARKERS
- \* O: BRANCHPOINT, COMMIT
- \* 8: MULTIPLE COMMITS
- \* -->: MULTIPLE COMMITS SPECIFICATION
- \* ->: COMMENT
- \* =>: == REPLACED BY
- \* ---->>>: CONCLUSION INDICATOR

I'll leave the scheduling decision (strict: 3.2.0, lenient: 3.1.1) to the committers.

Please note that this issue brings me to issue [#18134](#) again, and in particular to the questions I raised in its note [#18134-5](#) (before "The examples:"). If SCM-provided merge tracking features are used and maintained correctly, issues like this one and previous [#18174](#) could have been spotted right on with some higher certainty. Just my two cents...

Kind regards, Mischa.

#### Related issues:

Related to Redmine - Feature #11253: Total time spent from subtasks on the is...

**Closed**

## Associated revisions

---

### Revision 14533 - 2015-08-31 05:38 - Toshi MARUYAMA

Merged r14406 from trunk to 3.1-stable (#11253, #20456)

Adds "Total spent hours" column available on the issue list.

### Revision 14534 - 2015-08-31 05:38 - Toshi MARUYAMA

Merged r14407 from trunk to 3.1-stable (#11253, #20456)

Preload total spent time on the issue list with 1 query.

### Revision 14535 - 2015-08-31 05:38 - Toshi MARUYAMA

Merged r14408 from trunk to 3.1-stable (#11253, #20456)

Limit queries to given issues only.

### Revision 14536 - 2015-08-31 05:38 - Toshi MARUYAMA

Merged r14409 from trunk to 3.1-stable (#11253, #20456)

Fixes methods comments.

### Revision 14537 - 2015-08-31 05:38 - Toshi MARUYAMA

Merged r14410 from trunk to 3.1-stable (#11253, #20456)

Asserts that spent time is preloaded.

### Revision 14538 - 2015-08-31 07:22 - Toshi MARUYAMA

Merged r14413 from trunk to 3.1-stable (#20243, #20456)

Use https links instead of http links in ApplicationHelper#avatar\_edit\_link and Redmine::Info class methods.

Patch by Mischa The Evil.

### Revision 14596 - 2015-09-16 05:52 - Toshi MARUYAMA

Merged r14405 from trunk to 3.1-stable (#11253, #20456)

Don't display estimated hours when nil and total is 0.

## History

---

### #1 - 2015-08-01 10:11 - Mischa The Evil

- *Description updated*

### #2 - 2015-08-01 10:12 - Mischa The Evil

- *Description updated*

### #3 - 2015-08-01 10:13 - Mischa The Evil

- *Related to Feature #11253: Total time spent from subtasks on the issue list added*

### #4 - 2015-08-01 13:15 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- *Description updated*

### #5 - 2015-08-01 13:44 - Toshi MARUYAMA

Thank you for investigation. I will answer my revisions later.

If SCM-provided merge tracking features are used and maintained correctly

I almost agree, but SCM is not completed solution.

Rails uses git.

<https://github.com/rails/rails>

But Rails uses "backport/cherry-pick" model.

<https://github.com/rails/rails/pull/19689>

1. Revision is committed in master branch.  
<https://github.com/rails/rails/commit/7e504927090362d132d4e315c6f22915050fe5ba>
2. This master revision is backported in 4.2-stable branch.  
<https://github.com/rails/rails/commit/4df216cb12e35c09ae5ec271755e581d692d0326>

If they use Git/Mercurial, they should use stable/devel merge strategy.  
<http://marutosi.bitbucket.org/RxTstudy-20130622/one-html/html/index.html#id252>

**#6 - 2015-08-31 05:42 - Toshi MARUYAMA**

[r14390](#), [r14391](#),  
--->>> NOT EXPLICIT 3.1.0, BUT IT WAS PROBABLY INTENDED FOR 3.1.X THOUGH

I think this is refactoring and not for stable.

**#7 - 2015-08-31 05:43 - Toshi MARUYAMA**

- *Target version set to 3.1.1*

**#8 - 2015-08-31 07:25 - Toshi MARUYAMA**

[r14411](#), [r14412](#), [r14413](#), [r14414](#),  
--->>> UNKNOWN, CAN BE BACK-PORTED TO 3.1: "CODE (LAYOUT) CLEANUP & HTTP => HTTPS"

I have merged [r14413](#) from trunk to 3.1-stable.  
I think [r14411](#), [r14412](#) and [r14414](#) are refactoring and not for stable.

**#9 - 2015-08-31 07:29 - Toshi MARUYAMA**

[r14415](#), [r14416](#),  
--->>> UNKNOWN, MIGHT BE BACK-PORTABLE TO 3.1: "TRAVIS CHANGES, [#20251](#)"

[r14415](#) was merged as [r14523](#).  
[r14416](#) was merged as [r14531](#).

**#10 - 2015-08-31 07:33 - Toshi MARUYAMA**

--> [r14454](#),  
--->>> EXPLICIT 3.1.0, "BG LOCALE REORDER", [#11253](#) AND RELATED

Bulgarian translation is well maintained.  
[#11253](#) translation is merged as [r14533](#).

**#11 - 2015-08-31 07:36 - Toshi MARUYAMA**

- *Status changed from New to Resolved*

I have finished checking revisions in description.

**#12 - 2015-09-02 03:20 - Ryosuke Hirai**

Mischa and Toshi,  
Thank you so much for your dedicated work on this issue!, especially Mischa's deep investigation

**#13 - 2015-09-13 16:29 - Jean-Philippe Lang**

- *Assignee set to Toshi MARUYAMA*

Mischa and Toshi, thanks for your respective work. Can we close this for 3.1.1.?

**#14 - 2015-09-15 06:14 - Mischa The Evil**

- Status changed from Resolved to Needs feedback

Jean-Philippe Lang wrote:

[...] Can we close this for 3.1.1?

Toshi MARUYAMA wrote:

I have finished checking revisions in description.

Toshi, thanks for the review. I'm ok with your decisions and merges. One question though: did you skip [r14405](#) for any particular reason? After decision/action is taken on [r14405](#) this issue is completed and can be closed for 3.1.1 inclusion.

Note: please mention the inclusion of [#11253](#) in 3.1.1 instead of 3.1.0 in the 3.1.1 release announcement and changelog entry, since it's now showing on the changelog as part of 3.1.0.

**#15 - 2015-09-16 06:24 - Toshi MARUYAMA**

- Status changed from Needs feedback to Resolved

- Assignee changed from Toshi MARUYAMA to Jean-Philippe Lang

Mischa The Evil wrote:

Jean-Philippe Lang wrote:

[...] Can we close this for 3.1.1?

Toshi MARUYAMA wrote:

I have finished checking revisions in description.

Toshi, thanks for the review. I'm ok with your decisions and merges. One question though: did you skip [r14405](#) for any particular reason?

There is no reason. I forgot it.

Note: please mention the inclusion of [#11253](#) in 3.1.1 instead of 3.1.0 in the 3.1.1 release announcement and changelog entry, since it's now showing on the changelog as part of 3.1.0.

I keep open for JPL reminder.

**#16 - 2015-09-16 06:25 - Toshi MARUYAMA**

Toshi MARUYAMA wrote:

Mischa The Evil wrote:

Jean-Philippe Lang wrote:

[...] Can we close this for 3.1.1?

Toshi MARUYAMA wrote:

I have finished checking revisions in description.

Toshi, thanks for the review. I'm ok with your decisions and merges. One question though: did you skip [r14405](#) for any particular reason?

There is no reason. I forgot it.

I have merged as [r14596](#).

**#17 - 2015-09-19 09:30 - Jean-Philippe Lang**

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed

- Resolution set to Fixed

Thanks.