

Redmine - Feature #24529

change sort order for due date column on "Issues assigned" page

2016-12-04 18:11 - Jesús M. Navarro

Status:	Closed	Start date:	
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:		% Done:	0%
Category:	Issues list	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:			
Resolution:	Duplicate		
Description			
As per RE: How to change sort order for due date column on "Issu... , I'd want to see either default date sorting changed or the ability to set it on-demand.			
If I'm requesting issues to be sorted by due date, it means due date is of importance to me, so issues with an assigned due date should be somehow highlighted over those without (i.e.: by sorting before).			
Not having an assigned due date on an issue means I don't know/it doesn't matter and, again, known info should prevail over <i>"don't knows/doesn't matters"</i> .			
Excluding in the query issues without due date may make sense sometimes but I can think of a lot of scenarios when it doesn't (i.e.: multifilter: I still want to see issues at critical priority sorted before any issues at normal priority, even if no due date is assigned to them).			
An issue can have an implicit due date as long it has a target version assigned (that of the target version).			
I can't think of an user case where things with no due date should be meaningfully presented before those with a due date when sorting ascending order.			
So, in sorting by due date (ascending), I would expect issues sorted like this:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 1/MAR/2016• 2/MAR/2016• no due date [or have it set to its target version's one and sort accordingly]			
Related issues:			
Is duplicate of Redmine - Feature #6034: sorting should disregard blank fields		New	2010-08-04

History

#1 - 2017-01-01 03:53 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Category set to Issues list

#2 - 2017-01-04 02:16 - Go MAEDA

- Is duplicate of Feature #6034: sorting should disregard blank fields added

#3 - 2017-01-04 02:18 - Go MAEDA

- Status changed from New to Closed

- Resolution set to Duplicate

Closing as a duplicate of [#6034](#).
Thank you for reporting this issue.