

Redmine - Feature #2624

Repository statistics should honour user-mapping

2009-01-30 08:57 - Gerrit Kaiser

Status:	Closed	Start date:	2009-01-30
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:		% Done:	0%
Category:	SCM	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:			
Resolution:	Duplicate		

Description

at the moment the Repository statistics graphs show different stats for two repository-users that were mapped onto one Redmine-User. It is probably more useful to only show one entry in that case.

Related issues:

Related to Redmine - Defect #13487: Honor committer => user mapping in reposi...	Closed	
Has duplicate Redmine - Feature #3826: It would be good if Redmine showed rep...	Closed	2009-09-05
Has duplicate Redmine - Feature #9505: Committers name (if mapped to redmine ...	Closed	2011-11-01
Has duplicate Redmine - Defect #15994: Repository view does not obfuscate aut...	Closed	

History

#1 - 2009-02-05 08:50 - Damien Couderc

+1

The stats are pretty useless without that ...

#2 - 2009-02-27 11:13 - Thorbjørn Hermansen

++

I found this too; please fix? And thank you for all the work done on this project :-)

#3 - 2009-03-13 14:16 - Derek Montgomery

Just saw the mapping options and my first check was to see how it affected graphs... so, +1 here too. Thanks JP!!

#4 - 2009-03-14 09:51 - Marlin Forbes

And another +1 here too. I have 4 entries for myself from a repository I'm using because of accessing it from different hosts. The display only shows my name, not email address. So stats show me as 4 indistinguishable Marlin Forbes's. :)

#5 - 2009-04-07 17:17 - Derek Montgomery

Please?

#6 - 2009-04-09 18:20 - Anonymous

+1

#7 - 2009-11-24 11:47 - Guillaume Ayoub

It seems to be a duplicate of [#2065](#). A patch has been submitted, tests welcome.

#8 - 2010-03-14 01:25 - João Machado

- File *merge_users_stats.diff* added

- Status changed from *New* to *Resolved*

This ticket is not a duplicate of [#2065](#), but using your patch from that ticket ([#2065](#)) and altering some code, i was able to correct this ticket's issue ;)

tested in [r3517](#).

Marked as resolved (is it ok?) ;)

#9 - 2010-06-21 14:23 - Greg Mefford

+1 (I'll try to take a look at this patch tonight if I have time)

#10 - 2010-10-11 15:03 - Damien Couderc

Hi all,
I'm using 1.0.2 and I'm unable to see anything fixed here.
Excuse me if I'm wrong but maybe the issue shouldn't be marked resolved until the fix is really in the SCM.

#11 - 2010-10-12 00:31 - Mischa The Evil

- Status changed from Resolved to New

Damien Couderc wrote:

I'm using 1.0.2 and I'm unable to see anything fixed here.

Excuse me if I'm wrong but maybe the issue shouldn't be marked resolved until the fix is really in the SCM.

You are right. Thanks for mentioning it!

#12 - 2011-01-05 08:50 - Damien Couderc

The diff provided by João Machado seems to be trivial. Any reason why it did not go into trunk ?

#13 - 2011-01-05 13:09 - Daniel Macedo

Damien Couderc wrote:

The diff provided by João Machado seems to be trivial. Any reason why it did not go into trunk ?

+1

#14 - 2011-01-05 16:04 - Ludovic Gasc

+1

#15 - 2011-11-06 16:03 - Dan Clarke

+1

#16 - 2013-01-28 10:54 - Federico Bonelli

28 jan 2013 here, did it get patched? I'm still experiencing this same behavior

#17 - 2013-03-15 16:44 - Anonymous

I created issue [#13487](#) with an alternate patch for this. I would appreciate if either the patch here or mine could be merged.

#18 - 2013-03-18 13:37 - Dipan Mehta

+1. Very important to have this sorted.

#19 - 2014-02-24 05:43 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Has duplicate Defect #15994: Repository view does not obfuscate author emails added

#20 - 2014-08-26 19:42 - Jean-Baptiste Barth

- Status changed from New to Closed

- Resolution set to Duplicate

I close this one as the discussion continues in [#13487](#).

Files

merge_users_stats.diff	1.82 KB	2010-03-14	João Machado
------------------------	---------	------------	--------------