Redmine - Feature #3976

Hide custom fields from certain roles

2009-10-06 11:48 - Nils Kluge

Status:	Closed	**Start date:**	2009-10-06
Priority:	Normal	**Due date:**	
Assignee:		**% Done:**	0%
Category:	Issues	**Estimated time:**	0.00 hour
Target version:			
Resolution:	Duplicate		

Description

It would be a great feature to show/hide custom fields in a project depending on the user's role.

We have some cutomers looking into our projects using the role *customer* and adding issues (defects / features).

Today we added a custom field that marks an issue as *maintenance issue*.
But this flag indicates an internal state and should not be seen by the customer.

It would be great to configure the roles that can see a custom field in the project's configuration.

Related issues:

Related to Redmine - Feature #3088: Estimated hours field able to hide role b...	**New**	**2009-04-01**
Related to Redmine - Feature #7955: Display issue field depending on role	**New**	**2011-03-22**
Is duplicate of Redmine - Feature #5037: Role-based issue custom field visibi...	**Closed**	**2010-03-10**

History

#1 - 2011-01-26 18:01 - Marcel Hochuli

I would double this feature! Are there plans when this feature will be implemented?

#2 - 2011-05-05 18:11 - pasquale [:dedalus]

+1

#3 - 2011-07-06 12:46 - Stefan Langenmaier

I have written a plugin for this purpose.

Check it out: https://github.com/stefan-langenmaier/redmine_permits

#4 - 2013-08-06 15:38 - James Silver

Definitely need this functionality! We've been trying to figure out a way of adding an additional 'Tags' field allowing us to privately categorize tickets away from the eyes of the client.

We have found a workaround which sort-of works for our use case for the moment (if anyone else needs this):

1. Add #hashtags to your issue in private comments (private comments were added to Redmine in #1554)
2. Use the issue search page to search for these hashtags (only those who are allowed to view private comments will get the search results).

This is obviously a very limited and hacky workaround and is no substitute for proper support for permissions on custom fields, but it may help someone else!

#5 - 2013-08-06 20:28 - Wim DePreter

duplicate of #5037 ?

#6 - 2013-08-07 02:16 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Status changed from New to Needs feedback

#7 - 2013-08-07 02:17 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Related to Feature #5037: Role-based issue custom field visibility added

#8 - 2013-08-07 08:13 - Nils Kluge

Seems to be closed by [#5037](#5037)

#9 - 2013-08-07 09:21 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Status changed from Needs feedback to Closed

- Resolution set to Duplicate

Thank you for your feedback.

#10 - 2013-08-07 09:21 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Related to deleted (Feature #5037: Role-based issue custom field visibility)

#11 - 2013-08-07 09:22 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Is duplicate of Feature #5037: Role-based issue custom field visibility added