Redmine - Feature #469

Code Review/Approve workflow?

2007-10-30 16:41 - Frank DePinho

Status:	Closed	Start date:		
Priority:	Normal	Due date:		
Assignee:		% Done:	0%	
Category:	Issues workflow	Estimated time:	0.00 hou	r
Target version:				
Resolution:	Duplicate			
Resolution: Description	Duplicate			
Description Any plans on addin	g features similar to <u>http://www.rev</u>	view-board.org/ where if enabled, c eing committed to the main reposite		d can be reviewed
Description Any plans on addin	g features similar to <u>http://www.rev</u>			d can be reviewed
Description Any plans on addin and commented wi	g features similar to <u>http://www.rev</u>			d can be reviewed
Description Any plans on addin and commented wi Thoughts? Related issues:	g features similar to <u>http://www.rev</u>	eing committed to the main reposite		d can be reviewed 2011-03-01

#1 - 2007-11-07 18:33 - Jean-Philippe Lang

Hi, it looks interesting.

In the near time, what can be done is:

- to create a tracker called "Review request" with

appropriate workflow which would be used to submit and comment patches

- add a diff viewer for attachments (the code already exists for repository diffs), so that attached patches can be easily reviewed

Then the submitter create a "Review request" issue and attach its patch to it. Reviewers would be able to view the diff (just like you see diffs in the repository browser) and enter their comments by adding notes to the issue.

What do you think ?

#2 - 2011-03-24 05:38 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Category set to Issues workflow

#3 - 2013-01-12 17:50 - Daniel Felix

Jean-Philippe Lang wrote:

- add a diff viewer for attachments (the code already exists for repository diffs), so that attached patches can be easily reviewed

This would be interessting!

#4 - 2013-01-12 18:29 - Daniel Felix

- Subject changed from Code Review/Approce workflow? to Code Review/Approve workflow?

#5 - 2013-03-18 14:31 - Toshi MARUYAMA

Is this issue close as duplicate <u>#2048</u>?

#6 - 2013-03-18 14:39 - Daniel Felix

Well I would prefer to close the older one as the newer one has a better history and is more general in naming of the review tools. What do you think?

#7 - 2013-03-18 14:57 - Toshi MARUYAMA

#2048 has many watchers. I think it is better to close this issue.

#8 - 2013-03-18 15:09 - Daniel Felix

- Status changed from New to Closed
- Resolution set to Duplicate

Closed as duplicate of <u>#2048</u>.