Redmine - Defect #6032

Internal error 500

2010-08-04 13:42 - Jay Sangani

Status:	Closed		**Start date:**	2010-08-04
Priority:	Normal		**Due date:**	
Assignee:			**% Done:**	0%
Category:			**Estimated time:**	0.00 hour
Target version:				
Resolution:	Invalid		**Affected version:**	

Description

Hi all,

I have just migrated to 1.0.0 and I am getting the following error while viewing issues:

Processing IssuesController#index (for 192.168.100.157 at 2010-08-04 17:06:48) [GET]
Parameters: {"project_id"=>"mis-reporting", "action"=>"index", "controller"=>"issues"}
Rendering template within layouts/base
Rendering issues/index.rhtml

ActionView::TemplateError (undefined method `-' for nil:NilClass) on line #16 of app/views/issues/_list.rhtml:
13:     </tr></thead>
14:     <% previous_group = false >
15:     <tbody>
16:     < issue_list(issues) do |issue, level| ->
17:     < if @query.grouped? && (group = @query.group_by_column.value(issue)) != previous_group >
18:     < reset_cycle %>
19:     <tr class="group open">

```
app/helpers/issues_helper.rb:28:in `issue_list'
    app/helpers/issues_helper.rb:23:in `each'
    app/helpers/issues_helper.rb:23:in `issue_list'
    app/views/issues/_list.rhtml:16
    app/views/issues/_list.rhtml:1:in `_run_rhtml_app47views47issues47_list46rhtml_locals_issues_l
ist_object_query'
    app/views/issues/index.rhtml:62:in `_run_rhtml_app47views47issues47index46rhtml'
    app/controllers/issues_controller.rb:83
    app/controllers/issues_controller.rb:82:in `index'
```

Rendering C:/Program Files/BitNami Redmine Stack/apps/redmine/public/500.html (500 Internal Server Error)

History

#1 - 2010-08-04 14:05 - Jay Sangani

- Assignee set to Jean-Philippe Lang

#2 - 2010-08-04 14:23 - Felix Schäfer

- Status changed from New to Closed

- Assignee deleted (Jean-Philippe Lang)

- Priority changed from Urgent to Normal

- Resolution set to Invalid

Please don't assign new tickets to someone here, and refrain from setting the priority to high if it's not a security problem (especially as you should have been able to catch this in testing, if you don't do it, it's your problem and your urgent priority to get it working in production).

See this note.