Redmine - Feature #6347

All members not being displayed in Projects -> Settings

Duplicate

2010-09-10 00:30 - Dave Martin

Status:ClosedStart date:2010-09-10Priority:NormalDue date:

Assignee: % Done: 0%

Category: Projects Estimated time: 0.00 hour

Target version:

Description

Resolution: Description

There is a hard limit of 100 in the Principal.active.find call in 'app/views/projects/settings/ members.rhtml':

<% principals = Principal.active.find(:all, :limit => 100, :order => 'type, login, lastname ASC')
- @project.principals %>

which is a problem for us as we currently have 135 members. Please consider raising or removing this limit.

Related issues:

Is duplicate of Redmine - Defect #9549: Only 100 users are displayed when add... Closed 2011-11-11

History

#1 - 2010-09-10 06:21 - Jean-Baptiste Barth

- Resolution set to Wont fix

Thanks for reporting. I don't think we will increase this limit because there are good reasons behind it.

But did you notice you have an autocomplete text field above it? When you start typing anything in it, names are auto-completed accordingly... Do you see a better / more intuitive method to do that?

#2 - 2013-01-15 11:34 - Daniel Felix

- Resolution deleted (Wont fix)

Well I know that there is no pagination on this page and the limit won't be raised. But...

I would definitly agree that ther should be some kind of pagination. This way, the limit could be removed and the display would be still fast.

What do you think Jean-Baptiste?

#3 - 2013-01-15 11:34 - Daniel Felix

- Tracker changed from Defect to Feature

It should be a feature request, if there should be some pagination.

#4 - 2013-01-15 22:09 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Target version set to Candidate for next major release

#5 - 2013-08-09 16:02 - Bruce Svare

This is a duplicate of #9549 which was implemented in 2.3.0

#6 - 2013-08-09 17:04 - Daniel Felix

- Is duplicate of Defect #9549: Only 100 users are displayed when adding new project members added

#7 - 2013-08-09 17:04 - Daniel Felix

- Status changed from New to Closed
- Target version deleted (Candidate for next major release)
- Resolution set to Duplicate

2025-05-17 1/2

Indeed. I close this issue, as this issue is already fixed.

I set the relation as a duplicate, even if this issue is the one which was first - the other issue find it's way to the trunk.

2025-05-17 2/2