

## Redmine - Defect #8729

### Not-public queries are not private

2011-07-01 11:29 - Jean-Baptiste Barth

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	2011-07-01
<b>Priority:</b>	Low	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>		<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	Issues	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	1.2.1	<b>Affected version:</b>	1.2.0
<b>Resolution:</b>	Fixed		
<b>Description</b>			
I'd like to have the opinion of some of you about the following thing :			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• if you save a custom query on issues, and mark it as public, everyone who can view issues can see it in the sidebar, and it's... public</li><li>• if you don't mark it as public, it's not really private since everyone can access it knowing the URL (increment the ID is a simple way to do that..)</li></ul>			
I could understand both position about this tiny defect :			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• it may be useful for managers who don't want to display a lot of queries in the sidebar, but want to have some shortcuts for them or their project members</li><li>• it could be considered as a confidentiality break and be made strictly private to the user who created the custom query</li></ul>			
Thanks for any though about this.			
<b>Related issues:</b>			
Has duplicate Redmine - Feature #8946: Permissions for saving queries		<b>Closed</b>	<b>2011-07-29</b>

#### Associated revisions

##### Revision 6163 - 2011-07-03 13:01 - Jean-Philippe Lang

Fixed: private queries should not be accessible to other users (#8729).

#### History

##### #1 - 2011-07-01 17:33 - Etienne Massip

Confidentiality is a more critical concern than UI.

UI issue should be resolved via css / improved user control hack.

##### #2 - 2011-07-01 18:04 - Alex Shulgin

Etienne Massip wrote:

Confidentiality is a more critical concern than UI.

Well, given that if you can run other's queries, you still won't be able to see tickets you're not supposed to see, there's little security concerns to be raised.

However, if that reveals the query title, this might be potentially an inconvenience (e.g. project manager using some strong language in the query title while he believes it is never going to be public ;)

Anyway, there should be a way to check if a private query is run by someone who's not supposed to run it and simply deny access.

My 2 cents.

##### #3 - 2011-07-01 18:32 - Etienne Massip

It's more like a principle, a private object should not be visible to someone else than its owner.

Alex Shulgin wrote:

Anyway, there should be a way to check if a private query is run by someone who's not supposed to run it and simply deny access.

Very easy, indeed, the query belongs explicitly to the user =)

**#4 - 2011-07-03 13:09 - Jean-Philippe Lang**

- *Category set to Issues*
- *Status changed from New to Resolved*
- *Target version set to 1.2.1*
- *Resolution set to Fixed*

Fixed in [r6163](#).

**#5 - 2011-07-03 17:58 - Jean-Philippe Lang**

- *Status changed from Resolved to Closed*

Merged in 1.2-stable.

**#6 - 2018-09-17 15:05 - Go MAEDA**

- *Has duplicate Feature #8946: Permissions for saving queries added*