

Redmine - Feature #9592

Filtering by parent task

2011-11-17 09:26 - Victor Dulepov

Status:	Closed	Start date:	2011-11-17
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:		% Done:	0%
Category:	Issues	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:			
Resolution:	Duplicate		
Description Hi, I'm aware of #5196 , but my scenario looks different - the folks want saved searches with criteria for Parent Tasks. Ultimately, it should allow matching against the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• empty (=no parent),• non-empty (=has a parent),• exact number match (parent id equal to),• parent summary equal to string• parent summary contains/does not string. Comments please?			
Related issues:			
Is duplicate of Redmine - Feature #6397: Add Parent Task field as a sort crit...		Closed	2010-09-15
Is duplicate of Redmine - Feature #6118: Filter by parent task or subtasks		Closed	2010-08-12

History

#1 - 2011-11-21 04:58 - Mischa The Evil

The description seems to fully match with issue [#6397](#) (which has a better subject imho). Also consider the already requested "parent task filter" in issue [#6118](#).

Can you confirm so we can close this issue as a duplicate of one of them?

#2 - 2011-11-21 05:10 - Victor Dulepov

I think yes they should be merged, so you can close this one as a dupe of [#6118](#).
BTW, the parent task should also get into the "Group results by" dialog under "Options". And I didn't manage to see it as a feature request under the already-reported issues so I doubt it automatically will? :)

#3 - 2011-11-21 06:33 - Mischa The Evil

- Status changed from New to Closed
- Resolution set to Duplicate

Victor Dulepov wrote:

I think yes they should be merged, so you can close this one as a dupe of [#6118](#).

Will do, please be aware that both are different issues describing different things. But I think that [#6397](#) gets implemented along with a solution for [#6118](#).

BTW, the parent task should also get into the "Group results by" dialog under "Options". And I didn't manage to see it as a feature request under the already-reported issues so I doubt it automatically will? :)

See [#6116](#) / [#5325](#).