

## Redmine - Feature #10927

### Add a filter on the "Issues" page to hide subtasks

2012-05-15 15:37 - Nicolas Jolet

<b>Status:</b> Closed	<b>Start date:</b>
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	<b>Due date:</b>
<b>Assignee:</b>	<b>% Done:</b> 0%
<b>Category:</b> Issues planning	<b>Estimated time:</b> 0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	
<b>Resolution:</b> Duplicate	
<b>Description</b>	
<p>I would find useful to add a filter to hide subtasks in the issue list. This could help to limit the issue list size, specially if you use subtasks a lot.</p> <p>This could be also interesting to add a marker that indicates that a task has subtasks.</p>	
<b>Related issues:</b>	
Duplicates Redmine - Feature # 9992: Ability to filter issue subtasks in issu... <b>New</b>	

#### History

##### #1 - 2012-05-24 03:22 - Ewan Makepeace

What you need here would be "AND ParentTask IS NULL" as one of the filter options - currently although you can display the parentTask column, you cant filter on it.

I believe these are related tasks: #10553, #10559

Maybe related: #9992 (could be resolved with AND ParentTask = <someID> filter)

##### #2 - 2012-09-10 14:06 - Mikhail Sviridov

Yes, it will be great! If I'm goint to set priorities for tasks and set sorting by priority I can't see which tasks are the subtasks and which are not. I think priorities are significant only on the same nesting level not across nesting levels. But now I can set priority in such way:

- task 1 (high)
- task 2 (low)
  - subtask 1 (urgent)
  - subtask 2 (critical)
- task 3 (urgent)

And I have some ambiguity:

I need to work on tasks in such order

- subtask 2
- task 3
- subtask 1
- task 1
- priority for task 2 is not significant!

or in such order

- task 3
- task 1
- task 2
  - subtask 2
  - subtask 1

I think the second will be correct. That is priority takes place only for items in group!

May be it depends on used workflow.

If I want to fix some subissue while the parent issue has low priority or is blocked I can simply move this subissue to another parent issue or to move it one level up.

What do you think about it?

**#3 - 2012-12-10 17:04 - Zube Zahn**

+1

**#4 - 2013-01-16 10:47 - Daniel Felix**

- *Category set to Issues planning*
- *Status changed from New to Closed*
- *Resolution set to Duplicate*

This one could be closed as duplicate of #9992.

**#5 - 2015-02-12 01:27 - Bryn Jeffries**

+1 This would be a very useful feature.

Also, this is not a duplicate, although #9992 could be used as a means of achieving this. I suggest this be changed to related to or depends on.

**#6 - 2015-03-12 15:33 - Toshi MARUYAMA**

Bryn Jeffries wrote:

+1 This would be a very useful feature.

Also, this is not a duplicate, although #9992 could be used as a means of achieving this. I suggest this be changed to related to or depends on.

See #9992#note-8.