

Redmine - Defect #11204

Priority order inconsistency

2012-06-20 11:21 - Jean-Claude Wippler

Status:	Closed	Start date:	
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:		% Done:	0%
Category:		Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:		Affected version:	2.0.3
Resolution:	Invalid		

Description

When listing issues by priority, it seems to me that these two should be each other's inverse:

Priority ▲

Low

Low

Normal

Normal

Normal

High

Priority ▼

Normal

Normal

Normal

High

Low

Low

Environment:

Redmine version	2.0.3.stable.9874
Ruby version	1.8.7 (i486-linux)
Rails version	3.2.6
Environment	production
Database adapter	MySQL

Redmine plugins:

no plugin installed

History

#1 - 2012-06-20 11:22 - Jean-Claude Wippler

Forgot file extensions - should be:

Priority ▲

Low

Low

Normal

Normal

Normal

High

Priority ▾
Normal
Normal
Normal
High
Low
Low

## #2 - 2012-06-20 13:02 - Jean-Claude Wippler

Workaround is to reverse the order on the admin Enumerations config page. I can't think of any drawback to doing it this way.

## #3 - 2012-06-20 13:03 - Jean-Claude Wippler

Ehm, no - then all low prio issues get shown in red. Reverted. Sorry for the noise.

## #4 - 2012-06-20 13:57 - Etienne Massip

- Description updated

## #5 - 2012-06-20 21:07 - Jean-Philippe Lang

Just to make sure, what this ticket is about is the normal/high/low order in the screenshot on the right (should be high/normal/low instead) ? Please post the result of select name, position from enumerations where type = 'IssuePriority'.

## #6 - 2012-06-21 18:11 - Jean-Claude Wippler

- Status changed from New to Resolved

Please post the result of ...

I'm afraid I don't know how to do this.

But if the left says A,B,C, shouldn't the right say C,B,A - regardless of enumeration order settings?

PS. If this was a bad ordering, I may have "fixed" it by rearranging the enumeration to try things out - I don't think the problem can be reproduced here anymore. I'll tag the issue as resolved for now. No idea how the bad state came about, but it seems to be gone now.

PPS. So the workaround - if anyone else ever gets this behavior - is to manually rearrange the enumeration and then set it back to what it was.

## #7 - 2012-06-21 20:52 - Etienne Massip

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed

- Resolution set to Invalid

## Files

prio-ascending.png	6.3 KB	2012-06-20	Jean-Claude Wippler
prio-descending-oops.png	6.33 KB	2012-06-20	Jean-Claude Wippler