

## Redmine - Defect #11549

### Start date of 2nd issue does not follow if the first issue end date moves up

2012-07-31 15:56 - john zhel

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>		<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	Issues	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>		<b>Affected version:</b>	2.0.3
<b>Resolution:</b>	Duplicate		
<b>Description</b>			
I have 2 issues.			
I set Issue 002 follows Issue 001. Issue 001's end date is Sept 1st.			
If I change the end date of Issue 001 to 5 days later (to Sept 6th), I see Issue 002's start and end date aslo move forward (later) 5 days, which is good.			
Here is the problem: if I move the end date of Issue 001 to 5 days earlier (to August 25th), the Start date and end date of Issue 002 does not move accordingly, instead, they just stay where they were - no changes. Why is that? How to fix it?			
Thanks!			
<b>Related issues:</b>			
Is duplicate of Redmine - Defect #8936: rescheduling of an issue to an earlie...		<b>Closed</b>	<b>2011-07-28</b>

## History

### #1 - 2012-07-31 15:58 - john zhel

Just to clarify: "I have 2 issues." in my previous post means I created 2 new issues in my Redmine to test out the related issue feature (one follows the other).

### #2 - 2012-07-31 18:15 - john zhel

Found a solution here:

[http://www.redmine.org/plugins/redmine\\_better\\_gantt\\_chart](http://www.redmine.org/plugins/redmine_better_gantt_chart)

where it says "•Fixed rescheduling of related tasks if due date of dependent task changed to an earlier date."

Just wondering, if there is a special reason the core makes it this way? Any idea?

### #3 - 2012-08-13 19:00 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Status changed from New to Closed

- Resolution set to Duplicate

No particular reason, it's just not implemented.