# Redmine - Feature #12878

## Visualize a tracker's workflow graphically

2013-01-17 08:51 - Anonymous

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Status:** | Closed | **Start date:** | |
| **Priority:** | Normal | **Due date:** | |
| **Assignee:** | | **% Done:** | 0% |
| **Category:** | Issues workflow | **Estimated time:** | 0.00 hour |
| **Target version:** | | | |
| **Resolution:** | Duplicate | | |

**Description**

Hi!

the workflow system that is provided by redmine is really nice and can be configured easily.
External users and sometimes even members of the projects do not understand the workflow if the workflow is a little bit more complex.
Maybe the workflow can be visualized graphically by each tracker?

I know this feature from Jira, having this in redmine would be a great thing.. Jira also allows to configure the workflow graphically but this is not really necessary (because the admin of a project should know what he does...)

This Image (as Popup for example) could be linked next to the status dropdown in the new ticket view or next to the display ticket view

**Related issues:**

| | |
|---|---|
| Related to Redmine - Feature #559: Workflow Enhancements | **New** |

## History

**#1 - 2013-01-19 05:10 - Mischa The Evil**

This was detailed explained for old RM version as part of #559#note-9 (using google chart api).

**#2 - 2013-01-19 08:51 - Daniel Felix**

Well, I'm not a friend of the google chart API, as it disallows the intranet/offline usage of this feature. If you're using Redmine Inhouse, without Internet connection this would be a problem.

**#3 - 2013-01-19 20:02 - Mischa The Evil**

Daniel Felix wrote:

> Well, I'm not a friend of the google chart API, as it disallows the intranet/offline usage of this feature. If you're using Redmine Inhouse, without Internet connection this would be a problem.

Without a doubt. A solution (e.g. optionally [as like the Gantt png export feature] using local (ruby-)Graphviz dependency), that doesn't require an internet-connection would be preferable. Maybe this can be even better handled using a plugin before implementing such feature (and new dependency) within the core.

More general about this issue: it seems to be the same as suggestion 2 from the original description of issue #559, correct?

**#4 - 2013-01-21 16:14 - Anonymous**

> More general about this issue: it seems to be the same as suggestion 2 from the original description of issue #559, correct?

Yes, thats almost the same. If a had seen this ticket I would not have opened a new one.

**#5 - 2013-01-21 16:51 - Daniel Felix**

Could this be closed in favor of #559 or should it stay seperatly?

I added the relation.

**#6 - 2013-01-21 16:56 - Anonymous**

Daniel Felix wrote:

> Could this be closed in favor of 559 or should it stay seperatly?
>
> I added the relation.

I think it can be closed.

**#7 - 2013-01-21 17:05 - Daniel Felix**

*- Status changed from New to Closed*

**#8 - 2013-02-03 23:23 - Jan Niggemann (redmine.org team member)**

*- Resolution set to Duplicate*