

Redmine - Defect #13006

Filter "Assignee's group" doesn't work with group assignments

2013-01-28 11:06 - Jan Niggemann (redmine.org team member)

Status:	Closed	Start date:	
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:	Jean-Philippe Lang	% Done:	0%
Category:	Issues	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	2.3.0	Affected version:	
Resolution:	Fixed		
Description Reproduce 1. Configure group assignments 2. Assign issue to a group XYZ 3. List issues, set filter "Assignee's group" is "XYZ" Output: No data to display Expected output: Issues assigned to users belonging to group "XYZ" as well as issues assigned to group XYZ itself			
Related issues:			
Related to Redmine - Defect #9132: Filtering by "Assignee's Group" doesn't sh...		Closed	2011-08-26
Related to Redmine - Feature #7867: Add filters for "Author's group" and "Aut...		Closed	2011-03-15
Related to Redmine - Defect #13758: Issue Filtering 'Assignee = <<me>>' shows...		New	

Associated revisions

Revision 11285 - 2013-01-29 18:59 - Jean-Philippe Lang

Fixe that filter "Assignee's group" doesn't work with group assignments (#13006).

History

#1 - 2013-01-29 18:59 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Category set to Issues
- Status changed from New to Resolved
- Assignee set to Jean-Philippe Lang
- Target version set to 2.2.3
- Resolution set to Fixed

Fixed in [r11285](#).

#2 - 2013-01-30 22:28 - Jan Niggemann (redmine.org team member)

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed

tested in 11289, fixed, closing
thank you!

#3 - 2013-01-30 22:35 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Status changed from Closed to Resolved

Thanks for the feedback. FYI: I'm keeping it open until it's merged into the 2.2-stable branch.

#4 - 2013-02-04 12:30 - Toshi MARUYAMA

Is this issue duplicate with [#9132](#)?

#5 - 2013-02-04 12:41 - Jan Niggemann (redmine.org team member)

Reading [#9132](#) I'd say: Yes.

#6 - 2013-02-04 13:21 - Toshi MARUYAMA

I think Redmine [2.2.2](#) behavior is designed behavior implemented by [#5869 1.1.0](#).

"Assign issues to groups" is implemented by [#2964 1.3.0](#).

As described [note-5](#) of [#9132](#),

should we add new "Author group filtering" ([#7867](#)) instead of this issue [r11285](#) ?

#7 - 2013-02-04 13:55 - Jan Niggemann (redmine.org team member)

I thought of *groups* not only for privilege management, I also imagined being able to use them as support queues, i.e. a business can have groups like

- Groupware
- Windows
- Hardware (Printers, PCs, Laptops)
- ...

I imagined a workflow like this:

1. Callcenter agent assigns issue to one of the groups
2. Group supervisor assigns issue to supporter (or a supporter assigns issue to himself)
3. supporter resolves issue

It truly is arguable if 2.2.2 already exposed the desired behavior before, or only after [r11285](#)...

Some thoughts:

In the broader picture, I'm afraid that we might scare off people with too many options, filters and such. While perfect for redmine-experts, new users can get confused with too many options and turn away.

Perhaps it'd be better the functionality stayed like before [r11285](#) and for my idea of issue queues, we recommend people create a custom field for the group assignment? After all, if a group and no particular user is assigned, there's no assignee. A supervisor would then be able to filter "assignee = none" AND "custom_group=Hardware"...

#8 - 2013-02-12 18:58 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Target version changed from 2.2.3 to 2.3.0

#9 - 2013-02-24 10:14 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed

Filter behaviour changed in [r11285](#).

#10 - 2014-09-26 03:06 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Related to Defect #13758: Issue Filtering 'Assignee = <<me>>' shows group issues, but 'Assignee = Bob' does not added