

Redmine - Patch #13763

Live check of hostname setting

2013-04-14 15:06 - Daniel Felix

Status:	New	Start date:	
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:		% Done:	0%
Category:	UI	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:			
Description Hi, this is a small patch, which provides a live check for the hostname setting in the admin menu. This is just a function, you can attach as many input fields with different regexp as needed. I defined this to prevent cases like #13352 . Best regards, Daniel			
Related issues: Related to Redmine - Defect #13352: Broken links in incoming emails Closed			

History

#1 - 2013-04-14 15:07 - Daniel Felix

- File *check_hostname_setting.diff* added

Forgot the fileupload. ;-)

#2 - 2013-04-14 15:30 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Please use two spaces instead of tabs for intends.
- Please use "javascript_tag" instead of "<script type='text/javascript'>", refer [r10371](#).
- I think that Rails validates_format_of is better than JS.
refer: [source:tags/2.3.0/app/models/repository/subversion.rb#L23](https://source.ruby-lang.org/ruby230/app/models/repository/subversion.rb#L23)

#3 - 2013-04-14 15:55 - Daniel Felix

1. & 2. agreed

3. this script is in thought in addition to the normal validation. The rails validation works after submitting. This script check before the user try to save anything. A combination would be good, as the user won't be bothered to submit each config x-times.

#4 - 2013-04-14 20:55 - Daniel Felix

- File *check_hostname_setting_v2.diff* added

1. and 2. are corrected now.

#5 - 2013-04-14 21:24 - Filou Centrinov

- File *valid_host_name.patch* added

I think also, that validation has to be done by rails instead of JS. My patch tries to store host values correctly, that means: "<anything>:/" or "www" is deleted

#6 - 2013-04-14 21:55 - Daniel Felix

Yes there should be some validation via rails, but this won't be a live check anyway.

The user just can try and error until everything is fine. The frontend should provide a feedback to the client.

By the way, I would prefer this

```
v = v.gsub(/#{@available_settings[name]['regexp']}/i,") unless @available_settings[name]['regexp'].blank? && v.blank?
```

instead of this:

```
v = v.gsub(/#{@available_settings[name]['regexp']}/i,") if !@available_settings[name]['regexp'].blank? && !v.blank?
```

There would be less confusion because of the omitting of the negotiation.

#7 - 2013-04-14 22:40 - Filou Centrinov

If you want to use unless it would be:

```
v = v.gsub(/#{@available_settings[name]['regexp']}/i,') unless @available_settings[name]['regexp'].blank? |  
| v.blank?
```

(De Morgan's law)

#8 - 2013-04-15 15:54 - Daniel Felix

Filou Centrinov wrote:

If you want to use unless it would be:
[...]
(De Morgan's law)

Why @? should be enough? You haven't changed anything instead of your double @?

#9 - 2013-04-15 19:23 - Filou Centrinov

available_settings is defined as static which is defined with "@@". An instance does not exist, so "@" won't work. Try it out.

You haven't changed anything instead of your double @?

I changed your logical conjunction. If you use unless you have to use || instead of && because of De Morgan's law.

#10 - 2013-04-15 21:21 - Daniel Felix

Ah thanks. I haven't noticed the or. :-) this happens if you try to watch at something while your on the jump to your next meeting. :-)

#11 - 2013-08-08 16:54 - Daniel Felix

Hi there,

any news on this hostname validation patch?

I recently noticed this stumbling block as a friend of mine tried to install Redmine in his company.

Best regards,
Daniel

Files

check_hostname_setting.diff	1.8 KB	2013-04-14	Daniel Felix
check_hostname_setting_v2.diff	1.84 KB	2013-04-14	Daniel Felix
valid_host_name.patch	916 Bytes	2013-04-14	Filou Centrinov