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Description

message#45181

[[RedmineCustomFields#Fields-for-Activities-time-tracking]] says :

Data in time tracking activity custom fields can be used and/or will be displayed in the Timelog report and the Project settings. See also #4077 for some details about this nifty feature.

I don't know it is regression or wrong documentation.

Related issues:
Related to Redmine - Feature # 21061: Custom Field for Spent time Closed
Related to Redmine - Feature # 25773: Add more custom fields types to criteri... New

History
#1 - 2015-02-20 05:38 - Mischa The Evil
- Category changed from Custom fields to Documentation

Well, it is the documentation that is not specific enough. Time entry activity custom fields are available on the timelog report as available_criteria (source:/trunk/lib/redmine/helpers/time_report.rb@14042#L137), but only those that are of the boolean or list custom field format and where the list field format which has "multiple values" enabled is not included (source:/trunk/lib/redmine/helpers/time_report.rb@14042#L140).
Furthermore are time entry activity custom fields of all custom field formats (and without further restrictions) rendered on:
- the administrative (global) time entry activity enumeration edit views;
- the (per project) project settings views (to override the global values).

1 introduced with r2951 for #4077.

#2 - 2015-08-14 12:44 - Toshi MARUYAMA

I don't know it is related or not, #1766#note-30 says there is a regression.

#3 - 2015-09-24 00:53 - James Vreeken

I am also having this problem... we have a custom field called "Job Number" we would like to be able to create a report thru the tool that exports the week with time spent along with the job number... Its not working...

#4 - 2015-11-17 13:37 - Toshi MARUYAMA

2022-03-20
Toshi MARUYAMA wrote:

I don't know it is related or not, #1766#note-30 says there is a regression.

Toshi, I don't think there was a regression in Redmine version#60 regarding this feature. Starting from Redmine version#1 onward (which introduced custom fields as timelog report criteria), only boolean and list custom fields were included (see r1340). This behaviour hasn't changed over time (besides the addition of the exclusion of multiple-value enabled list custom fields).

See #25773 for a general feature request to add more custom field types as report criteria.

#7 - 2017-06-02 09:03 - Mischa The Evil

Btw: I have added a footnote to [[RedmineCustomFields#Fields-for-Activities-time-tracking]] wiki page (diff) with a reference to this issues note-1, for the time being.