

## Redmine - Feature #1917

### Required on close

2008-09-18 01:44 - Felipe Campo

<b>Status:</b> Closed	<b>Start date:</b> 2008-09-18
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	<b>Due date:</b>
<b>Assignee:</b>	<b>% Done:</b> 0%
<b>Category:</b> Custom fields	<b>Estimated time:</b> 0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	
<b>Resolution:</b> Duplicate	
<b>Description</b> It would be useful to have a check item on issues related custom fields that force tha this field is mandatoty to fullfill when the issue change to a state registered for close. It would be similar to the actual required field but only for the closure of the issue.	
<b>Related issues:</b> Is duplicate of Redmine - Feature #703: Configurable required fields per trac... <b>Closed</b> <b>2008-02-21</b>	

### History

#### #1 - 2008-09-18 02:03 - Stephanie Collett

+1 This would be great for requiring a field like 'resolution' on close.

#### #2 - 2008-09-25 22:00 - Mikael Rudberg

+1 for me too it would be even better if you could have a connection on all to state so for instance you could tell which fields where required for a particular state as in ClearQuest

e.g.

state : Assigned  
field : Target Version : Required  
field : Affected version : Optional  
etc. etc.

#### #3 - 2008-09-26 02:06 - Anonymous

Stephanie Collett wrote:

+1 This would be great for requiring a field like 'resolution' on close.

I'm interested in this for the exact same thing. At the moment I have patched our system to have a hard-coded check for moving an issue to a closed state without the custom "resolution" field being set.

#### #4 - 2008-11-11 10:34 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Target version deleted (0.8)

#### #5 - 2008-11-11 23:17 - Felipe Campo

- Assignee set to Jean-Philippe Lang

Jean-Philippe , the version erase means that the Feature was dismissed ??

#### #6 - 2008-11-11 23:17 - Felipe Campo

- Assignee deleted (Jean-Philippe Lang)

#### #7 - 2008-11-12 02:55 - Anonymous

Felipe Campo wrote:

Jean-Philippe , the version erase means that the Feature was dismissed ??

I suspect it means that version 0.8 is being finalised, and that this feature has not yet been implemented so it won't be there. That doesn't mean that this will not be implemented in the future though - I'm watching this issue with anticipation personally. If I had more time I'd write a patch..... ahhhh, the memories of once having spare time are starting to come back to me :(

**#8 - 2008-11-12 15:03 - Jean-Philippe Lang**

Felipe, Nick is right.

**#9 - 2009-04-01 21:14 - Johan Levin**

+1

**#10 - 2009-04-02 12:13 - Nicklas Holm**

+1

**#11 - 2009-09-08 23:26 - Brian Finch**

+1

**#12 - 2010-01-14 15:44 - Steve Overton**

+1

**#13 - 2011-12-05 19:10 - Ryan Rangel**

I too need the ability to "require" a field to be filled in for the ticket to change state. Any chance we can get this feature?

**#14 - 2011-12-07 02:38 - Maxim Nikolaevich**

I too need the ability to "require" a field to be filled in for the ticket to change **status** even [#5686](#) created.

**#15 - 2013-01-27 05:10 - Mischa The Evil**

- *Subject changed from Required on close to Required on close*
- *Status changed from New to Closed*
- *Resolution set to Duplicate*

This is superseded by [#703 \(2.1.0\)](#). You can now configure required (and read-only) standard/custom fields per tracker, status and role.

Maxim, issue [#5686](#) proposes something different than that what Felipe requested in this issue initially.