

Redmine - Defect #20068

[Gemfile] Wrong bundler syntax used in platforms declaration for tzinfo-data gem

2015-06-11 05:38 - Mischa The Evil

Status:	Closed	Start date:	
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:		% Done:	0%
Category:		Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:		Affected version:	3.0.3
Resolution:	Invalid		

Description

[source/trunk/Gemfile@14300#L18](#) declares the platforms as:

```
gem 'tzinfo-data', platforms: [:mingw, :x64_mingw, :mswin, :jruby]
```

which should¹ be declared as:

```
gem 'tzinfo-data', platforms => [:mingw, :x64_mingw, :mswin, :jruby]
```

So this little patch:

Index: Gemfile

```
=====
--- Gemfile      (revision 14300)
+++ Gemfile      (working copy)
@@ -15,7 +15,7 @@
  gem "actionpack-xml_parser"

  # Windows does not include zoneinfo files, so bundle the tzinfo-data gem
-gem 'tzinfo-data', platforms: [:mingw, :x64_mingw, :mswin, :jruby]
+gem 'tzinfo-data', platforms => [:mingw, :x64_mingw, :mswin, :jruby]
  gem "rbpdf", "~> 1.18.5"

  # Optional gem for LDAP authentication
```

would fix issues like for example mentioned in [ERROR: bundle install --without development test](#).

¹ per <http://bundler.io/v1.9/man/gemfile.5.html#PLATFORMS-platforms->

History

#1 - 2015-06-11 07:42 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Status changed from New to Needs feedback

I have posted.

<http://www.redmine.org/boards/2/topics/47553?r=47555#message-47555>

#2 - 2015-06-18 06:03 - Mischa The Evil

- Resolution set to Invalid

Toshi MARUYAMA wrote in [RE: ERROR: bundle install --without development test](#):

"platforms:" is valid Ruby 1.9 hash syntax.

Ah, you are right indeed. The examples given in the bundler doc are all using 'old-style' hash syntax, which distracted me and made me jumping to a wrong conclusion.

On the other hand, this gem declaration is the only one using this syntax where the others (defined within the case structure at

[source:/trunk/Gemfile@14328#L61](#)) are (still) using regular/old-style hash syntax, as such breaking the consistency of the used code syntax. If you are ok with that, I'd like you to close this issue.

Off-topic: Toshi, could you please replace the tab in [source:trunk/app/controllers/mail_handler_controller.rb@14328#L21](#) which is introduced in [r14314](#) with spaces? I don't want to open a new issue for such a small thing. The other thing: what do you think of the fact that the form introduced in that revision is not i18n'ed? Would it be worth the effort of adding so much - difficult to maintain - strings to the locales?

#3 - 2015-06-28 10:33 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- *Status changed from Needs feedback to Closed*

Mischa The Evil wrote:

Off-topic: Toshi, could you please replace the tab in [source:trunk/app/controllers/mail_handler_controller.rb@14328#L21](#) which is introduced in [r14314](#) with spaces? I don't want to open a new issue for such a small thing.

This was fixed with your patch in [r14365](#).

The other thing: what do you think of the fact that the form introduced in that revision is not i18n'ed? Would it be worth the effort of adding so much - difficult to maintain - strings to the locales?

It's for testing purpose and not for end users, I think we don't need to i18n this form. Field names match mail_handler script options names.