Redmine - Defect #24457
Progress of version should be calculated the same way as parent tasks
2016-11-25 14:59 - C-O L

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status:</th>
<th>New</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priority:</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignee:</td>
<td>Jean-Philippe Lang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category:</td>
<td>Roadmap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target version:</td>
<td>4.2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>The progress of a version should be calculated the same ways as the progress of parent tasks are calculated in #23511.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Start date: |  |
| Due date: |  |
| % Done: | 0% |
| Estimated time: | 0.00 hour |
| Affected version: |  |

**Related issues:**

- Related to Redmine - Defect # 23511: Progress of parent task should be calculated using total estimated hours of children added
- Related to Redmine - Defect # 4682: Completed version with wrong progress bar... confirmed 2010-01-28

**History**

#1 - 2016-11-25 15:41 - Toshi MARUYAMA
- Tracker changed from Defect to Feature
- Description updated
- Category set to Roadmap

#2 - 2016-11-25 15:41 - Toshi MARUYAMA
- Related to Defect #23511: Progress of parent task should be calculated using total estimated hours of children added

#3 - 2016-11-25 16:06 - C-O L
- File done_ratio_for_version.diff added

The progress for version is not calculated correctly.
It only uses the estimated time for issues in the version so if mixing issues with an estimated time >0.0h and issues without an estimated time then the issues without an estimated time is not contributing to the progress at all.

It should also use the total_estimated_time instead of just estimated_time, this will make the progress correct if the parent is in the version but not the children.

I've attached a fix that shows what I had in mind.

#4 - 2016-11-25 16:33 - Toshi MARUYAMA
- Tracker changed from Feature to Defect

#5 - 2016-11-25 16:35 - Toshi MARUYAMA
Could you add tests?
source:trunk/test/unit/version_test.rb@15993#L80

#6 - 2016-11-29 11:37 - C-O L
I'll see if I can squeeze in some time for this in the near future.
I've updated the patch posted by C-O-L and added to it a test posted by Etienne some years ago to #4682. I can confirm that this patch fixes the related issue (#4682), but I'm not sure about the defect reported here.

From my point of view, we should take into account the total estimated hours (as the patch proposes), but I'm not sure that issues estimated at 0 hours should be treated in the same way as the issues without estimated hours.

Also, the patch generates quite a lot of queries.

I'm assigning this to version:"4.1.0" to clarify at least the expected behaviour. I think it could be an important fix.