Redmine - Patch #251

Patch for Feature Request #9785 (Default issues due date == assigned target version date)

2008-01-18 12:54 - Elliot Winkler

Status:	New	Start date:	Start date: Due date:		
Priority:	Normal	Due date:			
Assignee:		% Done:	0%		
Category:		Estimated time:	0.00 hou	our	
Target version:					
Description		1			
	es it so that if a new issue is assign filled in with the end date of the ver	ed to a version, but the end date isn't sion.	t specified, the	end date	
(I hope the diff i	s in the right format, I've never reall	y done this before)			
Related issues	:				
Related to Redmine - Feature #6366: Due date on an issue should follow the as			New	2010-09-11	
	Related to Redmine - Feature #7626: version due date and issue due date inter				
Related to Redmi	ne - Feature #7626: version due date ar	nd issue due date inter	New	2011-02-15	

History

#1 - 2010-05-16 00:29 - Felix Schäfer

- Assignee set to Eric Davis

Implemented in Eric's issue due date plugin. Should we get this into core or close this issue in favor of the plugin?

#2 - 2010-10-25 17:03 - Eric Davis

- Assignee deleted (Eric Davis)

#3 - 2011-11-24 20:35 - Mischa The Evil

- Subject changed from Patch for Feature Request #9785 (Default end date) to Patch for Feature Request #9785 (Default issues due date == assigned target version date)

For referencing purposes: issue <u>#9785</u> on historic issue tracker.

#4 - 2022-03-10 21:02 - sss sss

- File test.png added

- File test.svg added

#5 - 2022-03-10 21:09 - sss sss

- File test.png added

#6 - 2022-03-10 21:19 - sss sss

- File test.png added

Files

auto_end_date.diff	1.89 KB	2008-02-03	Elliot Winkler
test.png	141 Bytes	2022-03-10	SSS SSS
test.svg	141 Bytes	2022-03-10	SSS SSS
test.png	161 Bytes	2022-03-10	SSS SSS
test.png	136 Bytes	2022-03-10	SSS SSS