Redmine - Patch #26030
Like issues and news comments, want to specify the display order of the forum's reply.
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Description

This patch also applies the setting order of comments in "My account" to the forum.

Related issues:
Related to Redmine - Feature # 26033: Respect "Objects per page" option when ... Closed
Related to Redmine - Patch # 11120: Order replies of messages boards based on... New

History

#1 - 2017-05-24 16:37 - Go MAEDA
Thank you for posting the patch.
But I think it would be better not to assume that the value of REPLEYS_PER_PAGE constant is always 25. The test in your patch may fail if #26033 is implemented.

#2 - 2017-05-25 08:57 - Minoru Maeda
- File reply_display_order_with_pre_page.patch added

Hello, Go MAEDA. Thank you for your advice.
I improved it in order of reply display considering "per_page".

#3 - 2017-06-22 13:29 - Toshi MARUYAMA
- Related to Feature #26033: Respect "Objects per page" option when displaying forum replies added

#4 - 2017-06-22 13:31 - Toshi MARUYAMA
- Related to Patch #11120: Order replies of messages boards based on user preference added

#5 - 2017-06-29 15:40 - Go MAEDA
- Target version set to 4.1.0

The patch works fine for me. And it also implements #26033.
Setting target version to 3.5.0.

#6 - 2019-06-16 16:44 - Popoki Tom (@cat_in_136)
This patch is great work but sometimes did not work well on my environment.
my environment:
- MySQL 5.6.42 (ClearDB)
- Ruby 2.5.5p157

2020-06-01
When showing the topic where
- count of the topic children is larger than `per_page_option`, and
- some children has more than one attachment files

In which case, `r=xx` parameter may not be expanded correctly due to incorrect paging.

On my environment, `@replies.pluck(:id)` generated an array containing duplicate IDs.
I could not identify the root cause, but I found a line `includes(:author, :attachments, {:board => :project})` cause this problem.

Therefore, I corrected this problem as follows to avoid this problem:

```ruby
@@ -34,6 +34,7 @@
@@ -34,7 +34,6 @@
     - includes(:author, :attachments, {:board => :project}).
     
     reorder("#{$Message.table_name}.created_on #{$replies_order}, #{$Message.table_name}.id #{$replies_order}")
     
     if params[:r] && page.nil?
@@ -45,6 +44,7 @@
     @reply_count = @replies.count
     @reply_pages = Paginator.new @reply_count, per_page_option, page
     @replies = @replies.
+    includes(:author, :attachments, {:board => :project}).
     
     limit(@reply_pages.per_page).
     offset(@reply_pages.offset).
     to_a
```

#7 - 2019-09-01 13:05 - Popoki Tom (@cat_in_136)
- File `reply_display_order_with_pre_page_2.patch` added

I improved Minoru-Maeda-san's patch. My patch includes:

- This issue #26030 itself;
- display considering "per_page" (#26033);
- place "reply" block considering the display order (like #31438);
- fix regression that I reported in #26030#note-6;
- fix regression where redirection after deleting a message raises an error; and
- fix test codes to pass rails test -n /Message.*Test/.

#8 - 2019-09-01 14:03 - Marius BALTEANU

Popoki Tom (@cat_in_136) wrote:

I improved Minoru-Maeda-san's patch. My patch includes:
- This issue #26030 itself;
- display considering "per_page" (#26033);
- place "reply" block considering the display order (like #31438);
- fix regression that I reported in #26030
- fix regression where redirection after deleting a message raises an error; and
- fix test codes to pass rails test -n /Message.*Test/.

Thanks for updating the patch. Can you check the content of test/integration/messages_test.rb? It seems the class class MessagesTest is duplicated.

#9 - 2019-09-28 00:33 - Go MAEDA
- Target version changed from 4.1.0 to 4.2.0

#10 - 2019-09-28 14:52 - Popoki Tom (@cat_in_136)
- File reply_display_order_with_pre_page_3.patch added

Opps, Thank you for checking my patch. I've removed the duplicated MessageTest.

#11 - 2020-01-11 03:00 - Popoki Tom (@cat_in_136)

Popoki Tom (@cat_in_136) wrote:

Opps, Thank you for checking my patch. I've removed the duplicated MessageTest.

My patch has still a some degrade bug where r=xx parameter may not be expanded correctly. This patch should not be merged.
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