

## Redmine - Feature #275

### Wiki to Documents

2007-02-22 14:44 - Pablo Lerina

<b>Status:</b> Closed	<b>Start date:</b>
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	<b>Due date:</b>
<b>Assignee:</b>	<b>% Done:</b> 0%
<b>Category:</b>	<b>Estimated time:</b> 0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	
<b>Resolution:</b>	
<b>Description</b> At current projects we are working the documentation is built "on demand", and Track's Wiki proves to be an excellent tool for that.  Also a project-level wiki and a "private" developer-level wiki..  What about implement a full-featured wiki system at redMine?	

### History

#### #1 - 2007-02-22 15:12 - Jean-Philippe Lang

It's true that a wiki is a great tool for documentation.  
But for the moment, i don't plan to add this feature to redMine.  
I prefer to focus on project management specific features like  
time tracking, that should be added for the next release.

Best regards,  
Jean-Philippe

#### #2 - 2007-02-22 18:39 - Nick Read

I too vote for Wiki integration.

We are currently looking at moving to Trac for Issue tracking

+ Wiki integration. It has issues though:

- no customisable workflow
- single project
- no project management

Some of the above are in development, but that's where they have  
stayed for some time now. After stumbling across RedMine though,  
I'm completely blown away.

That said, Wiki integration is very important us. A project  
level Wiki would be highly important, while a developer level  
Wiki is much less important for us.

#### #3 - 2007-02-22 20:18 - Jean-Philippe Lang

Thanks :)  
I'll try to work on it.

#### #4 - 2007-02-23 07:21 - Pablo Lerina

I can help, at spare time...

#### #5 - 2007-02-26 14:32 - Pavol Murin

I can also volunteer to help. I like the project, but miss at  
least a history of documentation, so accidental deletions can  
be reverted. Now this might be completely wrong and please correct  
me if it is: what if the whole documentation would be held in  
svn (in some readable format, e.g. markdown or redcloth) and  
(re-)generated when needed? I have never implemented a wiki,  
so this might be really off. This would also make the documentation  
portable and open.

**#6 - 2007-02-26 15:51 - Pablo Lerina**

Yep! That's how I was thinking, adding version support and generating an portable document presentation, to make easy to export to PDF and alike..

**#7 - 2007-02-26 16:40 - Jean-Philippe Lang**

pmuro, you mean using svn as the backend for the wiki ?

I agree with you about the fact that version support is needed. But it can be done easily with the db, for example with a plugin such as acts\_as\_versioned. And I would feel more comfortable doing it this way.

**#8 - 2007-02-27 02:30 - Pavol Murin**

Thanks for the information about acts\_as\_versioned. svn was just an idea - you are the developer, so you are more than welcome to choose what is appropriate. If there is anything I can help with, let me know. I will take a look at acts\_as\_versioned.

The reason I suggested svn is that it also works with binary data. On the other hand, maybe this data doesn't have to be versioned. I also wanted to make it simple to import or export wiki data easily - many projects already have their own wiki and proposing a migration (to and from) path is very welcome.

**#9 - 2007-03-05 14:20 - Jean-Philippe Lang**

I've started coding wiki module. As it will be possible to add attachments to wiki pages, i'm thinking about removing the existing "Documents" module, which will bring nothing more than the wiki will. What do you think about it ?

Any suggestion is welcome.

**#10 - 2007-03-05 15:58 - Pavol Murin**

Hi Jean-Philippe,  
I already took a look - I still have a few problems, unfortunately, I didn't get it to work yet. I described my problem in the help forum - as I didn't notice your reply here.  
I like the code so far and I try to understand it as well as I can. I noticed that no column was created for the wiki table - was this on purpose? I added a "start\_page" column in the 026 migration as text, as that seemed appropriate to me. Please correct me, if I that is wrong.  
I also had problems running the tests. Unfortunately, I'm quite new to rails, so I didn't find the reasons. I will try to look into it tomorrow.

Anyway, thanks a lot for your work! muro

**#11 - 2007-03-05 16:58 - Nick Read**

I agree that the existing Documents feature should simply be replaced by the Wiki implementation. The next version of redMine is certainly shaping up to be a fantastic piece of work.

**#12 - 2007-03-11 10:50 - Jean-Philippe Lang**

Per project wiki has been to redMine.  
Please read this post in the forums for more details:

[http://rubyforge.org/forum/forum.php?thread\\_id=12456&forum\\_id=7504](http://rubyforge.org/forum/forum.php?thread_id=12456&forum_id=7504)

**#13 - 2007-03-29 08:48 - Ross Manning**

I Agree about public & private, this would be great for customer collaboration. The private area is for stuff you don't want the customer to see!!