

## Redmine - Defect #28035

### Order of versions is not correctly ordered

2018-01-22 11:23 - Robert Schneider

<b>Status:</b> Closed	<b>Start date:</b>
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	<b>Due date:</b>
<b>Assignee:</b>	<b>% Done:</b> 0%
<b>Category:</b>	<b>Estimated time:</b> 0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	<b>Affected version:</b>
<b>Resolution:</b> Duplicate	
<b>Description</b>	
I see this with version 3.4.2 and on redmine.org at different places: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- in project configuration</li><li>- in custom field</li><li>- in Roadmap: completed versions in sidebar or when all gets shown</li><li>- in issue list filter for version custom field</li></ul> Which kind of sorting is it currently? It is not the id and it doesn't seem to be the name.	
<b>Related issues:</b>	
Duplicates Redmine - Feature # 6881: Version numbering sort	<b>New</b> <b>2010-11-13</b>

### History

#### #1 - 2018-01-24 18:57 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Status changed from New to Closed

source:tags/3.4.4/app/models/version.rb#L197

Versions are sorted by effective\_date and name

Those with no effective\_date are at the end, sorted by name

| in issue list filter for version custom field

Please explain more details.

redmine.org is not recent version.

#### #2 - 2018-01-24 18:57 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Status changed from Closed to New

#### #3 - 2018-01-24 18:57 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Status changed from New to Needs feedback

#### #4 - 2018-02-02 09:15 - Robert Schneider

Okay, I see. Doesn't look natural to me, but that's just my opinion.

I would prefer a an order independent of the effective date. Ideally, if the version has a format x.y.z it should be:

1.1.1  
1.1.2  
1.1.10  
1.2.0  
1.3.0  
1.20.0

**#5 - 2019-02-03 00:32 - Go MAEDA**

- *Duplicates Feature #6881: Version numbering sort added*

**#6 - 2019-02-03 00:34 - Go MAEDA**

- *Status changed from Needs feedback to Closed*

- *Resolution set to Duplicate*

Closing as a duplicate of #6881.

**#7 - 2019-03-29 08:25 - Edgars Batna**

Versions should be sorted by version. Sorting that runs on invisible criteria is bogus.