

Redmine - Patch #29263

Move issue attributes to their own section in issue page

2018-07-23 23:11 - Marius BĂLTEANU

Status: New	Start date:
Priority: Normal	Due date:
Assignee:	% Done: 0%
Category: UI	Estimated time: 0.00 hour
Target version:	
Description I would like to move the issue attributes to their own section in issue page from the following reasons: <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. to implement a collapse/expand feature (#29034)2. to make the issue page more structured and more easily to read/scan3. to allow in the future more improvements, like a sticky issue bar Before: before.png After: after.png	
Related issues:	
Blocked by Redmine - Patch #29033: Move attachments to their own section in i...	Closed
Blocks Redmine - Feature #29034: Option to collapse/expand issue sections	New

History

#1 - 2018-07-23 23:12 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Related to Feature #29034: Option to collapse/expand issue sections added

#2 - 2018-07-23 23:12 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Related to Patch #29033: Move attachments to their own section in issue page added

#3 - 2018-07-23 23:12 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Description updated

#4 - 2018-07-23 23:13 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Description updated

#5 - 2018-07-23 23:19 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Related to deleted (Patch #29033: Move attachments to their own section in issue page)

#6 - 2018-07-23 23:19 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Blocked by Patch #29033: Move attachments to their own section in issue page added

#7 - 2018-07-23 23:20 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- File 0001-move-issue-attributes-to-their-own-section.patch added

The patch must be applied after [#29033](#).

#8 - 2018-07-24 00:21 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Related to deleted (Feature #29034: Option to collapse/expand issue sections)

#9 - 2018-07-24 00:21 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Blocks Feature #29034: Option to collapse/expand issue sections added

#10 - 2019-04-30 11:47 - Go MAEDA

What do you think about changing the section name from "Attributes" from "Fields"?

In Redmine, "Status", "Priority", "Assignee", and so on are called "Field" (e.g. "standard fields", "core fields", "custom fields", "fields permissions").

#11 - 2019-05-12 01:34 - Go MAEDA

- File *move-issue-attributes-to-their-own-section-v2.patch* added
- File *fields-instead-of-attributes@2x.png* added
- Target version set to 4.1.0

I have updated the patch to change the section name from "Attributes" from "Fields". It is consistent with the terms already used in Redmine such as "standard fields", "custom fields", and "fields permission".

Marius, is that OK with you?

Bug #1
[Edit](#) [Log time](#) [Watch](#) [Copy](#) [Delete](#)

Cannot print recipes « Previous | 11 of 11 | Next »

Added by **John Smith** 4 days ago. Updated 1 day ago.

Fields

Status:	New	Start date:	05/10/2019
Priority:	Low	Due date:	05/21/2019
Assignee:	-	% Done:	<div style="width: 0%; height: 10px; background-color: #ccc;"></div> 0%
Category:	Printing	Estimated time:	200.00 h
Target version:	-	Spent time:	154.25 h
Searchable field:	125	Custom date:	12/01/2009
Database:		Project 1 cf:	
Float field:	2.10		

Description [Quote](#)

Unable to print recipes

Subtasks [Add](#)

#12 - 2019-05-14 18:23 - Marius BĂLTEANU

You're right, in the administration area all of them are fields (standard, custom), but in the issue page, the fields are displayed based on different criteria.

- In a scenario with all types of custom fields, the issue page will have the following sections:
1. All standard fields excepting description + All custom fields excepting full-width custom fields
 2. Description (standard field)
 3. Files
 4. Full width custom fields
 5. Subtasks
 6. Related issues

Besides 5 and 6, all of them are fields. At the same time, if we look in the edit issue mode, we will see that the label used there is "Change details" and not "Change fields" or "Change attributes". So, I don't know what to say about which label should we use.

Another concern is related to Jean-Philippe comment added to the collapsable sidebar ([#21808#note-29](#)) where he said " *I'd prefer not to add this to the core. I find it awkward to toggle some part of the UI.* " and the final scope of this change is to implement a toggle mechanism for issue sections ([#29034](#)).

Even if I'm the author of this ticket, with all of these in mind, I'm more in favour of getting more feedback on this (at least from Jean-Philippe) because without a toggle mechanism, this ticket is not a real improvement.

#13 - 2019-06-20 18:22 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Target version deleted (4.1.0)

I don't see the benefit of adding this section for now. It just eats up a bit more vertical space.

Files

before.png	322 KB	2018-07-23	Marius BĂLTEANU
after.png	350 KB	2018-07-23	Marius BĂLTEANU
0001-move-issue-attributes-to-their-own-section.patch	1.97 KB	2018-07-23	Marius BĂLTEANU
move-issue-attributes-to-their-own-section-v2.patch	1.85 KB	2019-05-11	Go MAEDA
fields-instead-of-attributes@2x.png	46.4 KB	2019-05-11	Go MAEDA