

## Redmine - Defect #30074

### Puma shouldn't be included in the Gemfile

2018-12-01 19:52 - Pavel Rosický

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>		<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	Gems support	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>		<b>Affected version:</b>	
<b>Resolution:</b>	Fixed		
<b>Description</b>			
right now it isn't possible to use a different Puma version or define it for a production environment because there's a conflict			
<a href="https://github.com/redmine/redmine/blob/master/Gemfile#L91">https://github.com/redmine/redmine/blob/master/Gemfile#L91</a>			
<pre>group :test do   # For running system tests   gem 'puma', '~&gt; 3.7' end</pre>			
<b>Gemfile.local</b>			
<pre>source 'https://rubygems.org'  group :production do   gem "puma" end</pre>			
<pre>[!] There was an error parsing `Gemfile`: [!] There was an error parsing `Gemfile.local`: You cannot specify the same gem twice with different version requirements. You specified: puma (~&gt; 3.7) and puma (&gt;= 0). Bundler cannot continue.</pre>			
<pre># Gemfile.local:4 # ----- # # &gt; # source 'https://rubygems.org' # ----- . Bundler cannot continue.</pre>			
<pre># from Gemfile.local:4 # ----- #   eval_gemfile file &gt; end # source 'https://rubygems.org' # -----</pre>			

#### History

##### #1 - 2018-12-01 20:06 - Pavel Rosický

IMO, your CI should add Puma to Gemfile.local in a before\_script or use Capybara.server = :webrick if Puma isn't available

##### #2 - 2019-12-06 00:39 - Go MAEDA

The version of puma is not fixed after [r19338](#).

##### #3 - 2020-10-20 04:41 - Go MAEDA

- Status changed from New to Closed

- Resolution set to Fixed

Fixed by [r19338](#).