Redmine - Defect #31010
The query visibility option "To me only" actually behaves as "To the owner only"

2019-03-11 17:30 - Yuuki NARA

Status:	Confirmed		**Start date:**	
Priority:	Normal		**Due date:**	
Assignee:			**% Done:**	0%
Category:	Issues		**Estimated time:**	0.00 hour
Target version:	Candidate for next major release			
Resolution:			**Affected version:**	

Description

If you change the display range of a shared custom query created by another person to "Only me", only creator can be used instead of me.

When changing the Visibility of a custom query created by someone from "to these roles only" to "to me only"
It becomes available only to the custom query creator, not the one who is manipulating it.
It seems that display content is wrong and it leads to erroneous operation.

It may be better to change from "to me only" to "to author only".

Redmine3.4.6

Related issues:

Related to Redmine - Defect # 7768: improve consistency of terms / language	**New**	**2011-03-03**

History

#1 - 2019-03-11 17:31 - Yuuki NARA

Steps to reproduce:

admin:

1.Create user-A and user-B.

Do not assign admin privileges.

2.Assign the following authority of the project to user-A, user-B.

Reference to issue
Custom query creation
Custom query management
(Save Query, Manage Public Query)

user-A:

3.Create custom queries shared within PJ.

visibility:[to these roles only]

user-B:

4.Edit the shared custom query created by user-A.

Change "Visible" from [to these roles only] to [to me only] and save.

This operation is intended to make it available only to me (user-B).

Result: Only user-A becomes available.

The userid of queries table points to user-A.

#2 - 2019-03-25 14:48 - Go MAEDA

- Subject changed from If you change the display range of a shared custom query created by another person to "Only me", only creator can be used
instead of me. to The query visibility option "To me only" actually behaves as "To the owner only"
- Category set to Issues
- Status changed from New to Confirmed

I have confirmed the problem. It is confusing in some cases. I agree to change the string from "to me only" to "to the owner only" or "to the author only".

#3 - 2020-05-19 06:29 - Go MAEDA

- Target version set to Candidate for next minor release

The following is the suggestion of new messages. Feedback are welcome.

```
diff --git a/config/locales/en.yml b/config/locales/en.yml
index 89fd151c4..aab925da2 100644
--- a/config/locales/en.yml
+++ b/config/locales/en.yml
@@ -1004,7 +1004,7 @@ en:
  label_cross_project_hierarchy: With project hierarchy
  label_cross_project_system: With all projects
  label_gantt_progress_line: Progress line
- label_visibility_private: to me only
+ label_visibility_private: to the owner only
  label_visibility_roles: to these roles only
  label_visibility_public: to any users
  label_link: Link
diff --git a/config/locales/ja.yml b/config/locales/ja.yml
index 2099764cf..7fd56ca57 100644
--- a/config/locales/ja.yml
+++ b/config/locales/ja.yml
@@ -1100,7 +1100,7 @@ ja:
  notice_account_not_activated_yet: アカウントが□□されていません。アカウントを□□にするためのメールをもう□□□□したいときは<a
href="%{url}">このリンクをクリックしてください</a>。
  notice_account_locked: アカウントがロックされています
  label_hidden: □□□
- label_visibility_private: □□のみ
+ label_visibility_private: □□□のみ
  label_visibility_roles: □のロールのみ
  label_visibility_public: すべてのユーザー
  field_must_change_passwd: □□ログイン□にパスワード□□を□□
```

#4 - 2020-05-19 06:47 - Go MAEDA

- Target version changed from Candidate for next minor release to Candidate for next major release

#5 - 2020-06-02 15:21 - Go MAEDA

The phrase "to the owner only" is not so good in the new query form. In that form, the current "to me only" is more appropriate, I think. We should find a better phrase.

#6 - 2021-08-22 03:30 - Mischa The Evil

I think that a more appropriate labeling in English would read something like this:

	Label:	**Label:**	**Label:**
On Query#new	to me only	to all users	to users with these roles only
On Query#edit	to the author only	to all users	to users with these roles only

#7 - 2021-08-22 11:19 - Mischa The Evil

- Related to Defect #7768: improve consistency of terms / language added

#8 - 2021-08-28 08:37 - Go MAEDA

Mischa The Evil wrote:

> *I think that a more appropriate labeling in English would read something like this:*
>
> | | *Label:* | *Label:* | *Label:* |
> |---|---|---|---|
> | *On Query#new* | *to me only* | *to all users* | *to users with these roles only* |
> | *On Query#edit* | *to the author only* | *to all users* | *to users with these roles only* |

+1

I think using different terms for Query#new and Query#edit is a good idea.