Redmine - Defect #33434

sha256 checksum for downloads on download page is wrong

2020-05-11 20:04 - Uwe Koloska

| Status: | Closed | Start date: | Start date: | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| Priority: | High | Due date: | | |
| Assignee: | Jean-Philippe Lang | % Done: | 0% | |
| Category: | Website (redmine.org) | Estimated time: | 0.00 hour | |
| Target version: | | | | |
| Resolution: | Fixed | Affected version: | Affected version: | |
| Description | | • | | |

The sha256 checksums on the download page are wrong:

| File | Checksum | Website |
|----------------------|--|--|
| redmine-4.1.1.tar.gz | 05faafe764330f2d77b0aacddf9d8ddce579 c3d26bb8e03a7d6e7ff461f1cdda | 0b67505431a362efa312981f75aae99aeb c482f9c0eb5e8d67d39ce7af8d9075 |
| redmine-4.1.1.zip | 8f8a5e8fd4cf70fbf2262469bd88d6e66c4c b2045aff40db49463356418a35cb | 3a6a7e01c20bc43ff43afab69c4f3513da04 1817cec5cd5f8a46122193bbe0ba |
| redmine-4.0.7.tar.gz | 1e556ebaefc69d09da859c2a0f95c52d2d2 6cf973461218169e5e7be01694a76 | ce897a65ba525faf49ea03ad8c149c1492d 33052e27315c6b37ade5408505d78 |
| redmine-4.0.7.zip | d6a3f87a23a9d25a789d729238dafac5fb0 98ff88f9f240fbe2497ad8a535daa | 5f376360a88679a53a868edc273e031117 f690b199c3d626e5c5692d829355e5 |

Is this just a fault or does it point to something worse?

Related issues:

Has duplicate Redmine - Defect #33267: unable to verify SHA256 sums for downl... Closed

History

#1 - 2020-05-12 01:52 - Mischa The Evil

- Status changed from New to Confirmed

- Priority changed from Normal to High

I confirm that the given checksums are incorrect. This really should be looked at. I'll add some watchers too.

#2 - 2020-05-12 06:31 - Mischa The Evil

I've just finished a first inspection of the four packages. I compared the contents against exports of the GitHub-mirror tags and found no substantive differences. Also, the MD5-hashes of the packages are matching those given on <u>Download</u> (v.189).

I think there's nothing "worse" at hand here. It seems rather a mistake, somehow.

#3 - 2020-05-12 12:45 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Status changed from Confirmed to Closed
- Resolution set to Fixed

I confirm that it was a mistake that I made when I introduced sha256 digests instead of md5. They are fixed and that should be fine for the next release. Thanks for pointing this out.

#4 - 2020-05-12 13:49 - Go MAEDA

- Has duplicate Defect #33267: unable to verify SHA256 sums for download releases 4.1.1 / 4.0.7 added