

Redmine - Defect #34297

Subprojects issues are not displayed on main project when all subprojects are closed

2020-11-23 01:34 - huijun Kim

Status:	Closed	Start date:	
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:	Go MAEDA	% Done:	0%
Category:	Issues list	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	4.0.8	Affected version:	4.1.1
Resolution:	Fixed		
Description			
No issues are displayed on issue page			
<pre>parent project (open) - subproject1 (closed) - subproject2 (closed)</pre>			
It shows sub1, sub2 issues.			
<pre>parent project (open) - subproject1 (closed) - subproject2 (open)</pre>			
Related issues:			
Related to Redmine - Defect #34375: "is not" operator for Subproject filter i...			Closed

Associated revisions

Revision 20693 - 2020-12-27 07:46 - Go MAEDA

Subprojects issues are not displayed on main project when all subprojects are closed (#34297).

Patch by Yuichi HARADA and Go MAEDA.

Revision 20694 - 2020-12-28 01:52 - Go MAEDA

Make sure that "is not" operator for Subproject filter does not exclude closed subprojects (#34375).

The issue has been fixed in r20693 along with #34297.

Revision 20695 - 2020-12-29 07:57 - Go MAEDA

Merged r20693 from trunk to 4.1-stable (#34297).

Revision 20696 - 2020-12-29 08:07 - Go MAEDA

Merged r20693 from trunk to 4.0-stable (#34297).

History

#1 - 2020-11-23 05:42 - Go MAEDA

- Status changed from *New* to *Confirmed*

I have confirmed the reported behavior. If there are one or more open subprojects, issues in closed subprojects are visible in a parent project's issues list. However, if all subprojects are closed, all issues from subprojects are invisible.

#2 - 2020-12-02 08:19 - Go MAEDA

- Subject changed from *The issue is not visible when closing all subprojects.* to *Subprojects issues are not displayed on main project when all subprojects are closed*

#3 - 2020-12-03 07:12 - Go MAEDA

- Related to Defect #34375: "is not" operator for Subproject filter incorrectly excludes closed subprojects added

#4 - 2020-12-03 09:20 - Yuichi HARADA

- File `fixed-34297.patch` added

Go MAEDA wrote:

I have confirmed the reported behavior. If there are one or more open subprojects, issues in closed subprojects are visible in a parent project's issues list. However, if all subprojects are closed, all issues from subprojects are invisible.

I created a patch. I fix to show subprojects issues even if all subprojects are closed.

#5 - 2020-12-06 05:20 - Go MAEDA

- Target version set to Candidate for next major release

#6 - 2020-12-20 09:38 - Go MAEDA

Yuichi HARADA wrote:

I created a patch. I fix to show subprojects issues even if all subprojects are closed.

Thank you for posting the patch.

What do you think about the following approach? The number of lines to be changed is minimal, and also fixes [#34375](#).

```
diff --git a/app/models/query.rb b/app/models/query.rb
index 18f1b135b..8ed09579d 100644
--- a/app/models/query.rb
+++ b/app/models/query.rb
@@ -898,10 +898,10 @@ class Query < ActiveRecord::Base

  def project_statement
    project_clauses = []
-   active_subprojects_ids = []
+   non_archived_subprojects_ids = []

-   active_subprojects_ids = project.descendants.active.map(&:id) if project
-   if active_subprojects_ids.any?
+   non_archived_subprojects_ids = project.descendants.where.not(status: Project::STATUS_ARCHIVED).ids if project
+   if non_archived_subprojects_ids.any?
      if has_filter?("subproject_id")
        case operator_for("subproject_id")
          when '='
@@ -910,7 +910,7 @@ class Query < ActiveRecord::Base
    project_clauses << "#{Project.table_name}.id IN (%s)" % ids.join(',')
    when '!'
      # exclude the selected subprojects
-   ids = [project.id] + active_subprojects_ids - values_for("subproject_id").map(&:to_i)
+   ids = [project.id] + non_archived_subprojects_ids - values_for("subproject_id").map(&:to_i)
    project_clauses << "#{Project.table_name}.id IN (%s)" % ids.join(',')
    when '!*'
      # main project only
```

#7 - 2020-12-23 06:35 - Yuichi HARADA

Go MAEDA wrote:

What do you think about the following approach? The number of lines to be changed is minimal, and also fixes [#34375](#).

[...]

That's great. I have confirmed that [#34375](#) is also improved with minimal changes.

#8 - 2020-12-23 12:31 - Go MAEDA

- File `34297-v2.patch` added

Yuichi HARADA wrote:

Go MAEDA wrote:

What do you think about the following approach? The number of lines to be changed is minimal, and also fixes [#34375](#).

[...]

That's great. I have confirmed that [#34375](#) is also improved with minimal changes.

Thank you for checking the code. I have updated the patch:

- Combined the code in [#34297#note-6](#) and tests in [fixed-34297.patch](#)
- Simplified tests

#9 - 2020-12-25 06:15 - Go MAEDA

- Target version changed from Candidate for next major release to 4.0.8

Setting the target version to 4.0.8.

#10 - 2020-12-27 07:47 - Go MAEDA

- Status changed from Confirmed to Resolved

- Assignee set to Go MAEDA

Committed the patch.

#11 - 2020-12-29 08:07 - Go MAEDA

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed

- Resolution set to Fixed

Files

fixed-34297.patch	5.24 KB	2020-12-03	Yuichi HARADA
34297-v2.patch	3.15 KB	2020-12-23	Go MAEDA