Redmine - Defect #36940

Chained custom field filter doesn't work for User fields

2022-04-12 18:43 - Thomas Löber

Status:	Closed	Start date:	
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:	Marius BĂLTEANU	% Done:	0%
Category:	Filters	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	4.2.8		
Resolution:	Fixed	Affected version:	4.2.5
Description			
A chained custom fi	eld filter doesn't work for fields with	n format <i>User</i> .	
Steps to reproduce:			
4. Create an issue	e and select << me>> for the custor	for your own account to an arbitrary m field B value you set on the <i>My account</i> pa	
Expected result:			
Expected result: The issue is for 	und		
The issue is for	und		
• The issue is for			
 The issue is for Actual result: The issue is no The reason is that the 	nt found The SQL that filters the issues conta	ins a WHERE customized_type='U	lser'. However, the correct clause would be
 The issue is for Actual result: The issue is no The reason is that the WHERE customized 	ot found ne SQL that filters the issues conta d_type='Principal'.	ins a WHERE customized_type='U	lser'. However, the correct clause would be
 The issue is for Actual result: The issue is no The reason is that the WHERE customized Here is a patch to five a/app/model +++ b/app/model 	ot found he SQL that filters the issues conta d_type='Principal'. k the issue: .s/query.rb		Iser'. However, the correct clause would be
Actual result: • The issue is no The reason is that th WHERE customized Here is a patch to fix a/app/model +++ b/app/model @@ -1166,7 +116 end	ot found he SQL that filters the issues conta d_type='Principal'. k the issue: .s/query.rb .s/query.rb		lser'. However, the correct clause would be

"SELECT customized_id FROM #{CustomValue.table_name}" +

Best regards, Thomas

Associated revisions

Revision 21686 - 2022-06-27 20:04 - Marius BÅLTEANU

Fix chained custom field filter doesn't work for User fields (#36940).

Patch by Thomas Löber.

Revision 21687 - 2022-06-27 20:05 - Marius BĂLTEANU

Add test for #37349.

```
Revision 21690 - 2022-06-28 08:49 - Marius BÅLTEANU
```

Revision 21691 - 2022-06-28 08:49 - Marius BÅLTEANU

Merged r21686 and r21687 to 4.2-stable (#36940).

History

#1 - 2022-04-14 15:44 - Go MAEDA

- Status changed from New to Confirmed

#2 - 2022-04-15 10:55 - Go MAEDA

- Target version set to Candidate for next minor release

#3 - 2022-06-25 21:23 - Marius BÅLTEANU

- File 0001-Fix-chained-custom-field-filter-doesn-t-work-for-Use.patch added

I'm not sure if we should that change for all classes, maybe we will break some plugins.

What do you think about the attached change?

#4 - 2022-06-25 22:45 - Thomas Löber

I don't think it's necessary to differentiate between User and other classes.

base_class is an ActiveRecord class method and returns exactly the class, whose name is stored in the customized_type column, i.e. the base model for the STI models, if any:

User.base_class => Principal Issue.base_class => Issue

#5 - 2022-06-25 23:35 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Target version changed from Candidate for next minor release to 4.2.8

I thought that maybe some plugins developers will add some custom fields that extend a base class and they don't want to filter after the base class.

2.04 KB

#6 - 2022-06-27 20:06 - Marius BĂLTEANU

- Status changed from Confirmed to Resolved

- Assignee set to Marius BĂLTEANU

- Resolution set to Fixed

Patch posted by Thomas committed with a test.

#7 - 2022-06-27 20:08 - Marius BÅLTEANU

Test committed in r21687 is for this issue, not for #37349.

#8 - 2022-06-28 08:50 - Marius BÅLTEANU

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed

Files

0001-Fix-chained-custom-field-filter-doesn-t-work-for-Use.patch

2022-06-25

Marius BĂLTEANU