

Redmine - Patch #39699

More flexible CustomField usage for relations

2023-11-22 20:00 - Alexander Meindl

Status:	Closed	Start date:	
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:		% Done:	0%
Category:	Custom fields	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:			
Description <p>The change in #38772 prevents usage, if a class is a subclass of CustomField, e.g UserCustomField. No filter group name is used, because of this change. "Translation missing: de.field_cf_19" is used instead of the name of the custom field.</p> <p>This patch solve this problem.</p> <pre>def <=> (field) - return nil unless field.is_a?(CustomField) + return nil unless field.is_a?(self.class) position <=> field.position end</pre> <p>See https://www.redmine.org/projects/redmine/repository/svn/diff/trunk/app/models/custom_field.rb?utf8=%E2%9C%93&rev=22269&rev_to=22013</p>			

History

#1 - 2023-11-22 20:24 - Alexander Meindl

The patch does not work, sorry. I suppose I have to search for the real solution for that.

This issue can be closed, I can create a new issue, if I've more information.

#2 - 2023-11-22 20:32 - Holger Just

- Status changed from New to Closed

Files

custom_field.patch	392 Bytes	2023-11-22	Alexander Meindl
--------------------	-----------	------------	------------------