Redmine - Patch #39699

More flexible CustomField usage for relations

2023-11-22 20:00 - Alexander Meindl

Status: Closed Start date:

Priority: Normal Due date:

Assignee: % Done: 0%

Category: Custom fields Estimated time: 0.00 hour

Target version:

Description

The change in #38772 prevents usage, if a class is a subclass of CustomField, e.g UserCustomField. No filter group name is used, because of this change. "Translation missing: de.field_cf_19" is used instead of the name of the custom field.

This patch solve this problem.

```
def <=>(field)
    return nil unless field.is_a?(CustomField)
+ return nil unless field.is_a?(self.class)

position <=> field.position
end
```

See

 $\frac{https://www.redmine.org/projects/redmine/repository/svn/diff/trunk/app/models/custom_field.rb?utf8=\%E2\%9C\%93\&rev=22269\&rev_to=22013$

History

#1 - 2023-11-22 20:24 - Alexander Meindl

The patch does not work, sorry. I suppose I have to search for the real solution for that.

This issue can be closed, I can create a new issue, if I've more information.

#2 - 2023-11-22 20:32 - Holger Just

- Status changed from New to Closed

Files

custom_field.patch 392 Bytes 2023-11-22 Alexander Meindl

2024-04-28 1/1