

Redmine - Defect #3974

Issue moving doesn't take into account permissions

2009-10-05 16:25 - Luke Hoersten

| | | | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| Status: | Closed | Start date: | 2009-10-05 |
| Priority: | Normal | Due date: | |
| Assignee: | Jean-Baptiste Barth | % Done: | 0% |
| Category: | Issues permissions | Estimated time: | 0.00 hour |
| Target version: | | Affected version: | 2.2.1 |
| Resolution: | No feedback | | |
| Description | | | |
| It looks like a user can only move an issue between two projects the user is a manager of. It should allow moving from a project the user manages to <i>any</i> project the user has issue-creation permissions for. | | | |

History

#1 - 2013-01-14 10:16 - Daniel Felix

- Status changed from New to Confirmed
- Affected version (unused) set to 2.2.1
- Affected version set to 2.2.1

This is still valid. I just tried it with the current trunk [r11182](#).

The expected behaviour would be something like this (always expected that UserA wants to move an issue from "Project A" to "Project B")

| User | Role in Project A | Role in ProjectB | Expected Behaviour |
|-------|-------------------|------------------|--|
| UserA | Reporter | Reporter | No right to move ticket between projects |
| UserA | Reporter | Manager | No right to move a ticket to Project B |
| UserA | Manager | Reporter | Right to move a ticket to Project B |
| UserA | Manager | Manager | Right to move a ticket to ProjectB |

What do you think about it?

#2 - 2013-05-05 11:27 - Jean-Baptiste Barth

- Status changed from Confirmed to Needs feedback
- Assignee set to Jean-Baptiste Barth

It needs some clarification I think. "Manager" and "Reporter" are only default roles in Redmine, so it would be a better idea to talk about "permissions".

Moving an issue from Project A to Project B isn't just simply "creating", it also removes the issue from Project A. Hence a special permission was added to "move issues". By default only "Manager" has this permission, not "Reporter". Hence the behavior you observed Daniel. This permission seems to be there for a long time. For Luke report, I cannot investigate it's far too old (and I'm sorry nobody answered by the way).

So I think the current behavior is correct. Let me know what you think.

#3 - 2014-09-06 03:53 - Jean-Baptiste Barth

- Status changed from Needs feedback to Closed
- Resolution set to No feedback

Closing as there's no feedback on this. Please re-open if needed.