

## Redmine - Feature #4049

### Permission management on a per project basis

2009-10-18 10:18 - G N

<b>Status:</b> Closed	<b>Start date:</b> 2009-10-18
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	<b>Due date:</b>
<b>Assignee:</b>	<b>% Done:</b> 0%
<b>Category:</b> Permissions and roles	<b>Estimated time:</b> 0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	
<b>Resolution:</b> Duplicate	
<b>Description</b>	
<p>Currently, it is impossible to set permissions for a role on a per project basis.</p> <p>For example, assuming that we have two projects, A &amp; B, it is impossible to make the "_documents_" and "_repository_" modules publicly available on project A, while at the same time keeping them private (granting access only to Managers and Developers) on project B.</p> <p>I think that the ability to (at least) manage the "view_*" or "browse_*" permissions per project would be a natural requirement for a multi-project management software.</p> <p>Unfortunately, my knowledge of Ruby is zero (at the moment), so I cannot provide any patches. But I think that implementing this feature would not be complex, as all the functionality exists.</p> <p>As a quick suggestion I would say that a new project-related permission, "Manage Permissions", should be available to enable/disable for the "Manager" role. And then, a "permissions" tab could exist in the project administration area, where the manager would be able to set permissions specifically for the project he manages.</p> <p>I hope my suggestion is clear enough and I feel sorry for not being able to contribute any code.</p> <p>Thanks in advance.</p>	
<b>Related issues:</b>	
Related to Redmine - Feature # 4015: Make app settings overridable at project...	<b>New</b> <b>2009-10-10</b>
Duplicates Redmine - Feature # 850: Per-project role permissions	<b>New</b> <b>2008-03-14</b>

### History

#### #1 - 2009-10-18 12:03 - G N

I've seen several similar feature requests. I am sorry for adding another one.

Now, regarding the issue, after giving it a second thought, I conclude that by giving the ability to project managers to determine whether a module would be available to non-members or not would be a feature that would resolve most of the situations where a team needs a part of its project space being private to project members only. Such a feature would serve as a small, but highly effective, per-project permission override.

For example, at the Project->Settings->Modules tab, the project manager could have the option to deny access to each module for non-members.

I believe that this could be implemented easily. Also, I think most feature requests about being able to set permissions on a per-project basis would be covered. But, of course, other special needs cannot be covered by such a solution.

#### #2 - 2010-02-12 01:10 - Beat

Specially, for:

- Public project
- with private SVN repository

It would be very useful to have project-specific settings for the system-roles (non member, and anonymous/public).

While one could create specific roles with specific permissions just for one project, those system-roles can't be duplicated and assigned for specific roles.

So there is no work-around for allowing SVN access to non-members and public for all projects, but not allow SVN access (view and changesets) to project members only in specific projects.

That feature would greatly help in not-yet first-released open-source projects and in collaborative commercial projects.

Please email me if we can help co-sponsor that feature.

**#3 - 2010-02-12 08:51 - Felix Schäfer**

Well, we leverage the project nesting feature to work around that, and create say a project project1 and subproject called project1-private, the first being public, the second private. That way, we can have whatever we want either private or public, depending on the need.

**#4 - 2010-05-31 11:18 - Tharuka Pathirana**

Seems, this is related to #1853 / #2076?

**#5 - 2010-05-31 12:43 - Nikolay Kotlyarov**

and also to #4015

**#6 - 2012-08-30 13:50 - yac yac**

+1

and i believe this is duplicate of #850

**#7 - 2013-03-26 07:06 - Toshi MARUYAMA**

- Status changed from New to Closed

- Resolution set to Duplicate

yac yac wrote:

+1  
and i believe this is duplicate of #850

Thank you for your feedback.

