Redmine - Feature #4367

Ability to delete an issue status that is in use

2009-12-09 00:22 - Holger Just

Status: Start date: New 2009-12-09 **Priority:** Due date: Normal % Done: Assignee: 0% **Estimated time:** 0.00 hour Category: Issues planning Target version: Resolution:

Description

I'm trying to restructure the workflow of some om my Redmine projects which involves changing the issue status definitions.

However, I am unable to delete a configures issue status if there are still issues with that status (as enforced from IssueStatus#check_integrity). While this is good and healthy, the failure message is not very talkative ("Unable to delete issue status").

It would be great if either

- 1. the User would have the opportunity to select another status for the affected issues, or
- 2. the error message would state the real problem

History

#1 - 2009-12-09 00:35 - Holger Just

However, the second option does not really solve the problem, as it is not possible to bulk edit **all** issues with that status, as there could also be issues in archived projects I do not know anything about. These still let check_integrity fail.

#2 - 2009-12-09 10:24 - Jean-Philippe Lang

- Subject changed from Issue statuses can not be deleted if issues are still using it to Ability to delete an issue status that is in use

#3 - 2014-04-19 19:06 - Christoph S. Ackermann

+1!

#4 - 2014-06-17 05:17 - Michael Sanders

+1

#5 - 2014-07-16 04:00 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Category changed from UI to Issues planning

#6 - 2015-03-12 12:25 - Aron Rotteveel

+1

#7 - 2017-04-05 11:37 - Greg T

+1 for more useful error messages at least.

I've had to find this SQL (after setting config.log_level = :info):

SELECT COUNT(*) FROM `trackers` WHERE `trackers`.`default status id` = 30

so that I could find out that not only issue statuses matter, but default statuses of trackers, too.

#8 - 2020-04-15 14:03 - Guillermo ML

In #31361 (for 4.1.0) implemented the second option.

As you say in note-1 issues in archived projects could be a problem, these issue will not shown in a search. You'll have to search through a SQL query.

#9 - 2020-04-15 18:35 - Greg T

Guillermo ML wrote:

2025-05-17 1/2

I have 2 problems with that implementation:

- the main one is lack of i18n;
- the other is lack of details.

2025-05-17 2/2