

## Redmine - Feature #5275

### Show tree of issues instead of a list in Roadmap

2010-04-08 20:52 - Alex Last

<b>Status:</b> New	<b>Start date:</b> 2010-04-08
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	<b>Due date:</b>
<b>Assignee:</b>	<b>% Done:</b> 0%
<b>Category:</b> Roadmap	<b>Estimated time:</b> 0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	
<b>Resolution:</b>	
<b>Description</b> Since we have "subtasks" now, it would be better to (optionally) show a <i>tree</i> of issues in the "Roadmap" instead of a <i>list</i> of issues.  Is there an overall design ready how subtasks will affect the user interface in general?	
<b>Related issues:</b>	
Related to Redmine - Feature #7907: Display Issues in a hierarchy (tree)	<b>New</b> <b>2011-03-17</b>
Has duplicate Redmine - Feature #6979: Roadmap tasks hierarchy	<b>Closed</b> <b>2010-11-25</b>
Has duplicate Redmine - Feature #16026: Roadmap subtask	<b>Closed</b>

#### History

##### #1 - 2010-12-15 16:53 - Fede Garcia

+1

This is related to [#6979](#)

##### #2 - 2010-12-16 05:42 - Mischa The Evil

- Subject changed from *show tasks tree, not list in Roadmap* to *Show tree of issues instead of a list in Roadmap*

- Category changed from *UI* to *Roadmap*

##### #3 - 2011-02-24 05:01 - Ryan Cross

+1

##### #4 - 2011-03-08 01:01 - Rodrigo Carvalho

+1

##### #5 - 2011-03-11 16:08 - Sergey Ratz

+1 please !

##### #6 - 2011-03-11 16:09 - Sergey Ratz

Why this ticket is closed?

It should be opened.

##### #7 - 2011-03-30 20:34 - Ådne Hovda

+1

##### #8 - 2011-04-08 22:52 - Fardeen GHULAM

+1

##### #9 - 2011-09-25 11:55 - Ofer Herman

+1

##### #10 - 2012-02-22 18:09 - Fede Garcia

Is there any plan to implement this request?

Are there plugins (compatible with the latest version of redmine) providing this feature?

Cheers

**#11 - 2012-02-23 12:39 - Daniel Albuschat**

This is in some way related to [#7907](#). IMHO, the ticket list in the Roadmap should be replaced by - or at least made more similar to - the "normal" ticket list.

**#12 - 2012-02-28 08:40 - Stéphane Thomas**

+1

**#13 - 2012-11-09 01:18 - Josh Hansen**

+1

**#14 - 2014-02-19 23:23 - Yamuna Vee**

+1

**#15 - 2014-06-26 12:05 - MengYu Lu**

+1

**#16 - 2014-10-09 16:53 - Ben Flaumenhaft**

See here as well --

See [http://www.redmine.org/projects/redmine/wiki/HowTo\\_TreeQuery](http://www.redmine.org/projects/redmine/wiki/HowTo_TreeQuery)

**#17 - 2014-11-08 09:00 - Toshi MARUYAMA**

- Related to Feature #7907: Display Issues in a hierarchy (tree) added

**#18 - 2015-12-17 08:48 - Ian H**

+1 current layout nonsensical

**#19 - 2018-11-08 02:42 - Mizuki ISHIKAWA**

- File *change\_roadmap\_issues\_to\_tree\_structure.patch* added

- File *roadmap.png* added

- File *before\_change.png* added

I propose to change the order of issues in the roadmap in ascending order of Parent task.

The result of applying the patch:  
roadmap.png

before change:  
before\_change.png

**#20 - 2018-11-08 08:02 - Go MAEDA**

Currently, issues on the Roadmap page are sorted by tracker\_id. You can see an example on <https://www.redmine.org/projects/redmine/roadmap>. As a committer of Redmine, the order is convenient. This is the similar order with the [Changelog](#).

But the patch [change\\_roadmap\\_issues\\_to\\_tree\\_structure.patch](#) sorts issues by issues.id. I don't like the order.

**#21 - 2019-02-03 05:10 - Go MAEDA**

- Has duplicate Feature #16026: Roadmap subtask added

**#22 - 2019-02-13 20:30 - Jeremy D**

I applied the patch provided in [#5275-19](#) and found it extremely helpful. It's not clear to me why it would be a desirable behavior to order by issue number; the order in which an issue is created (and thereby receives a number) has no necessary and sufficient bearing on due date nor relationships with other trackers, which seem like defining characteristics of a roadmap.

A cursory google search seems to indicate that this is a highly requested feature, and Mizuki ISHIKAWA's solution seems to work. Thanks very much.

**#23 - 2020-07-22 16:31 - Klaus Adler**

+1

It would be great to have it.

**#24 - 2023-07-20 01:06 - David L**

+1 This would be extremely helpful!

I'm not sure how much the sorting matters but can we get a patch that keeps the default tracker\_id sorting?

**#25 - 2023-07-20 01:14 - David L**

[Mizuki ISHIKAWA](#) Can you change the default sort of the patch? Is there a help page that could tell me how to apply the patch to a Docker deployment?

**#26 - 2023-07-25 02:00 - Mizuki ISHIKAWA**

David L wrote in [#note-24](#):

+1 This would be extremely helpful!

I'm not sure how much the sorting matters but can we get a patch that keeps the default tracker\_id sorting?

For the same reasons as 10048, it is difficult to achieve a parent-child structure and other orders at the same time, IMO. Sorry I can't help you.

David L wrote in [#note-25](#):

[Mizuki ISHIKAWA](#) Can you change the default sort of the patch? Is there a help page that could tell me how to apply the patch to a Docker deployment?

This is an old patch and I would not recommend applying it. There is also a risk that if it does work, it is likely to stop working with future versions. General patching procedures: [Patch](#)

**Files**

---

change_roadmap_issues_to_tree_structure.patch	4.88 KB	2018-11-08	Mizuki ISHIKAWA
before_change.png	63.5 KB	2018-11-08	Mizuki ISHIKAWA
roadmap.png	126 KB	2018-11-08	Mizuki ISHIKAWA