# Redmine - Feature #6236

## Wikified custom fields

2010-08-27 13:35 - Robert Schneider

Status:	Closed	Start date:	2010-08-27	7
Priority:	Normal	Due date:		
Assignee:		% Done:	0%	
Category:	Custom fields	Estimated time:	0.00 hour	
Target version:				
Resolution:	Duplicate			
Description		L		
I have just notice work as well, of co	•	the result is not a link to the issue.	Could you make	e it possible? Other links could
Related issues:				
Is duplicate of Redmine - Feature #1179: Optionally allow Text and Long Text			Closed	2008-05-05

#### History

#### #1 - 2010-08-27 13:57 - Felix Schäfer

Which text fields? All wikified textfields will show #1234 as #1234, i.e. as a link to issue 1234 if it exists.

#### #2 - 2010-08-27 15:10 - Robert Schneider

Custom fields. I didn't talk of custom field. But as I see now I think other fields (like category) wouldn't make sense.

Wikified textfields... okay, maybe custom fields that contain text could be wikified?

#### #3 - 2010-08-27 15:15 - Felix Schäfer

- Subject changed from Allow issue references in fields to Wikified custom fields
- Category set to Custom fields

Ok, so you'd like wikified custom fields :-) I changed the title accordingly.

## #4 - 2010-08-27 16:06 - Robert Schneider

Yes, thank you.

However, I suspected this wouldn't be possible. So I requested only for 'simple' references. But is it possible to implement this easily?

BTW (before I open another issue): Is there also a chance to change the order of fields/custom-fields? This would be also nice...

#### #5 - 2010-09-25 21:28 - Jean-Baptiste Barth

I think it may be a duplicate of <u>#5794</u>. Do you confirm ?

#### #6 - 2010-09-27 08:36 - Robert Schneider

Yes, probably. I did not try the patch but I think it means the same (if wikified and textilized means the same and is not restricted to URLs only).

### #7 - 2010-12-30 18:26 - Chad Heuschober

I think this issue is a duplicate of #1179 as-well. Seems the issue has a modicum of interest. It certainly has my +1.

#### #8 - 2010-12-30 18:28 - Chad Heuschober

Ahh. Just found another duplicate: <u>#7067</u>

#### #9 - 2010-12-30 18:30 - Chad Heuschober

And one more duplicate for good faith: <u>#5250</u>. This one uses the URL patch as a workaround.

#### #10 - 2011-04-19 11:39 - Etienne Massip

- Status changed from New to Closed

- Resolution set to Duplicate

Closed as duplicate of <u>#1179</u>.