

## Redmine - Feature #9851

### Equalize the way how available shared versions are differentiated in target version drop-downs

2011-12-21 03:38 - Mischa The Evil

<b>Status:</b> New	<b>Start date:</b>				
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	<b>Due date:</b>				
<b>Assignee:</b>	<b>% Done:</b> 0%				
<b>Category:</b> UI	<b>Estimated time:</b> 0.00 hour				
<b>Target version:</b> Candidate for next minor release					
<b>Resolution:</b>					
<b>Description</b>					
<p>I'd suggest to equalize the way how available shared versions are differentiated in target version drop-downs since they differ between issues/new and issues/index. See the following screenshots:</p>					
<table border="1"><tr><td>issues/new</td><td>tv_issues-new.jpg</td></tr><tr><td>issues/index</td><td>tv_issues-index.jpg</td></tr></table>		issues/new	tv_issues-new.jpg	issues/index	tv_issues-index.jpg
issues/new	tv_issues-new.jpg				
issues/index	tv_issues-index.jpg				
<p>I'm happy with either one as long as long as we're presenting a consistent UI.</p>					
<b>Related issues:</b>					
Related to Redmine - Feature # 9850: Differentiate shared versions in version...	<b>Closed</b>				
Related to Redmine - Feature # 15902: Custom Field - Version - Combo list to ...	<b>New</b>				
Duplicated by Redmine - Feature # 14449: Versions custom field format	<b>Closed</b>				

## History

### #1 - 2011-12-22 23:23 - Mischa The Evil

- Subject changed from *Equalize the way how available shared versions are differenciated in target version drop-downs* to *Equalize the way how available shared versions are differentiated in target version drop-downs*
- Description updated

Fixing ugly typo.

### #2 - 2012-05-15 18:30 - Etienne Massip

- Target version set to *Candidate for next minor release*

### #3 - 2013-07-13 00:33 - Mischa The Evil

- Duplicated by *Feature #14449: Versions custom field format* added

### #4 - 2013-07-16 03:36 - Mischa The Evil

- Description updated

Fixed description:

- Placed screenshots in table to separate them better, and reordered them;
- Changed "constant UI" to "consistent UI".

### #5 - 2015-08-06 09:53 - Toshi MARUYAMA

- Related to *Feature #19965: values of custom fields of version type should be prefixed with or grouped by project name* added

### #6 - 2015-08-24 10:39 - Alexis Parent

+1

**#7 - 2016-01-20 14:14 - Christophe Portier**

+1

**#8 - 2017-01-18 03:05 - Mischa The Evil**

- Related to deleted (Feature #19965: values of custom fields of version type should be prefixed with or grouped by project name)

**#9 - 2017-02-14 04:26 - Mischa The Evil**

- Related to Feature #15902: Custom Field - Version - Combo list to be grouped by projects.. added

**#10 - 2018-01-03 09:03 - Edgars Batna**

Make all version fields be presented similarly to the Target Version field (grouped by project name).

Also, take into account that the project name alone does not say much, if the project is in hierarchy with other, similarly named projects. Parent project names should be prepended to the project name.

You can test this and see that version management is impossible in Redmine once there are multiple hierarchy levels and trees.

**#11 - 2018-05-23 14:40 - Greg Burri**

I just tested this case with the 3.4.4 version of Redmine and it doesn't seem fixed. Custom fields displayed as a list with the *Version* format aren't prefixed with the project name when issue is edited so you can't distinguish between two versions having the same name but owned by different projects.

**Files**

---

tv_issues-index.jpg	9.13 KB	2011-12-21	Mischa The Evil
tv_issues-new.jpg	6.95 KB	2011-12-21	Mischa The Evil