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2011-12-21 03:38 - Mischa The Evil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status:</th>
<th>New</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priority:</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignee:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category:</td>
<td>UI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target version:</td>
<td>Candidate for next minor release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Done:</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated time:</td>
<td>0.00 hour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description

I'd suggest to equalize the way how available shared versions are differentiated in target version drop-downs since they differ between issues/new and issues/index. See the following screenshots:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>issues/new</th>
<th>tv_issues-new.jpg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>issues/index</td>
<td>tv_issues-index.jpg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I'm happy with either one as long as we're presenting a consistent UI.

Related issues:

- Related to Redmine - Feature #9850: Differentiate shared versions in version... Closed
- Related to Redmine - Feature #15902: Custom Field - Version - Combo list to ... New
- Related to Redmine - Defect #28865: Group items by project in version type c... New
- Duplicated by Redmine - Feature #14449: Versions custom field format Closed

History

#1 - 2011-12-22 23:23 - Mischa The Evil
- Subject changed from Equalize the way how available shared versions are differenciated in target version drop-downs to Equalize the way how available shared versions are differentiated in target version drop-downs
- Description updated

Fixing ugly typo.

#2 - 2012-05-15 18:30 - Etienne Massip
- Target version set to Candidate for next minor release

#3 - 2013-07-13 00:33 - Mischa The Evil
- Duplicated by Feature #14449: Versions custom field format added

#4 - 2013-07-16 03:36 - Mischa The Evil
- Description updated

Fixed description:
- Placed screenshots in table to separate them better, and reordered them;
- Changed "constant UI" to "consistent UI".

#5 - 2015-08-06 09:53 - Toshi MARUYAMA
- Related to Feature #19965: values of custom filed of version type should be prefixed with or grouped by project name added
#6 - 2015-08-24 10:39 - Alexis Parent

+1

#7 - 2016-01-20 14:14 - Christophe Portier

+1

#8 - 2017-01-18 03:05 - Mischa The Evil

- Related to deleted (Feature #19965: values of custom fileds of version type should be prefixed with or grouped by project name)

#9 - 2017-02-14 04:26 - Mischa The Evil

- Related to Feature #15902: Custom Field - Version - Combo list to be grouped by projects.. added

#10 - 2018-01-03 09:03 - Edgars Batna

Make all version fields be presented similarly to the Target Version field (grouped by project name).

Also, take into account that the project name alone does not say much, if the project is in hierarchy with other, similarly named projects. Parent project names should be prepended to the project name.

You can test this and see that version management is impossible in Redmine once there are multiple hierarchy levels and trees.

#11 - 2018-05-23 14:40 - Greg Burri

I just tested this case with the 3.4.4 version of Redmine and it doesn't seem fixed. Custom fields displayed as a list with the Version format aren't prefixed with the project name when issue is edited so you can't distinguish between two versions having the same name but owned by different projects.

#12 - 2019-07-01 16:29 - flabb17

+1

Same situation as describe by Gred Burri :

See #28865

#13 - 2019-07-02 05:41 - Bernhard Rohloff

- Related to Defect #28865: Group items by project in version type custom fields added
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